

Talk 4

Freitag, 14. Mai 2021

12:14

Weight filtration

- K fin. gen. field of char 0, $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$,
 l prime
 - $X_{/K}$ smooth, geom. con. variety (Lt, sep, loc. fin. type)
- ↓
- \bar{X} simple normal crossing compactification (ex. by Hironaka)

Recall (from Grégar)

- G pro- l group i.e. G profinite s.t. $G = \varprojlim_N G/N$
 $N \triangleleft G$
 G/N l -group

- $\mathcal{R}_l[[G]] = \varprojlim_H \mathcal{R}_l[[H]]$ „compl. group ring”
 $G \twoheadrightarrow H$
 H finite

- For H finite qu. of G have augm. map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\ell[H] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

$$\sum a_i h_i \mapsto \sum a_i$$

$$\varinjlim \mathbb{Z}_\ell[G] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \text{ "augm. map" with}$$

kernel \mathbb{I}

$$\mathbb{Q}_\ell[G] = \varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Z}_\ell[G] / \mathbb{I}^n \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \text{ " } \mathbb{Q}_\ell\text{-unipotent group ring"}$$

with augm ideal given by

$$\ker(\mathbb{Q}_\ell[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

$\rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ defines topology on $\mathbb{R}[G]$

\uparrow
 $\{ \text{f.g. top. } \mathbb{Q}_\ell[G] \text{ modules} \}$

$\updownarrow 1:1$

$\{ \text{f.d. unip. cont. } \mathbb{Q}_\ell\text{-reps of } G \}$

For $G = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}, \overline{x})$, $R \in \{\mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell\}$ we have adic.

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}, \overline{x})^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} R = \frac{\mathbb{I}(X)}{\mathbb{I}(X^2)}$$

and

$$\pi_1^L(X_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong H_1(X_{\bar{k}} | \mathbb{Q})$$

(G_k equi. as long as X has k -rational points)

The weight filtration

\bar{x} geom. point of X , $R \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}\}$

$$f(\bar{x}) = \ker(R[\pi_1^L(X_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x})] \rightarrow R[\pi_1^L(\bar{x}_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x})])$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\delta$$

induced by $X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$

Defⁿ: i) $R = \mathbb{Q}$

- $W^i = \mathbb{Q}[\pi_1^L(X_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x})]$ $i \geq 0$
- $W^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$
- $W^{-2} = \mathbb{I}^2 + \gamma$
- $W^{-l} = \sum_{\substack{a+b=l \\ a, b \geq 0}} W^{-a} \cdot W^{-b}$ for $l > 2$

ii) $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$\pi_1^L(X_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1^L(\bar{x}_{\bar{k}} | \bar{x}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1^L$$

$$L: H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}})$$

$$W^i \mathcal{O}_X[\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}})] \rightarrow i^{-1}(W^i \mathcal{O}_X[\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}})])$$

Remark:

$$W^{-i} = I \cdot V^{-i+1} + \gamma \cdot W^{-i+2}$$

Prop: $I^n \subset W^{-n}, W^{-2n-1} \subset I^n$

$$\rightsquigarrow W\text{-adic top.} = I\text{-adic top.}$$

For $x \in X(k)$ with geom. point \bar{x} , we get $G_X \curvearrowright \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}|\bar{x})$

Main theorem For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ sufficiently close to 1, there exists $G_{\alpha} \in G_X$ s.t.

$$G_{\alpha} \text{ acts on } gr^i W^i \mathcal{O}_X[\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{k}}|\bar{x})] \text{ with } \alpha^i \cdot \text{id}$$

For the proof need some lemmas.

Lemma 1: \bar{F} finite field, $V_{\bar{F}}$ sm. geo. conn. variety

\bar{V} sm. compactific. w/ simple, non-crossing boundaries

Then

- $G_{\bar{F}}$ acts semi-simply on $H^1(V_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}_V)$

- $G_{\bar{F}}$ -rep $H^1(V_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is mixed of weight $\{1, 2\}$

where the 1 piece is

$$H^1(V_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow H^1(V_{\bar{F}}, \mathcal{O}_V)$$

$$\text{Im}(H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

Proof: $j: Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}$, E_1, \dots, E_n irred. cop. of $\mathbb{F}|Y$

• by Leray sequence for R_{j_*} and observ. of Deligne we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^0(E_{i|\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \\ \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

• by Weil conj. $H^1(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is pure of weight 1
+ $G_{\mathbb{F}} \curvearrowright H^1(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ semi-splly by Tate.

• similar $V = \ker(\bigoplus_i H^0(E_{i|\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X))$
is pure of weight 2 + $G_{\mathbb{F}}$ acts semi-splly

\leadsto Have s.e.s.

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(Y_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$$

which splits as outer terms have different weights. \square

Lemma 2. For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ suff. close to 1 there exist $G_\alpha \in G_X$

s.t. G_α acts on $gr_w^{-i}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ via mult

by α^i

$$\Gamma w^i H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \quad i \geq -1$$

$$w^{-2} H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \ker(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

$$w^i H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad i \geq 2$$

Proof: Consider nat. act.

$$\rho: G_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

Step 1: exist $G_\alpha \in \overline{In(\rho)}$ } \Rightarrow conclusion

Step 2: $In(\rho) \subset \overline{In(\rho)}$ }

Step 1: - by result of Deligne about weight filtration on $H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ there exist $\gamma \in G_K$ s.t. γ acts on $gr_w^i(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ with weight i

by definition - have s.e.s.

$$0 \rightarrow gr_w^{-2}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \rightarrow H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow gr_w^{-1}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \rightarrow 0$$

As γ acts with weight i get splitting and hence a canonical γ -equivariant iso

$$g_w^{-1}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_L)) \oplus g_w^{-2}(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_L)) \simeq H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathcal{O}_L) (*)$$

By Lemma 1 have finite L/\mathcal{O}_L s.t. $\gamma \curvearrowright H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, L)$ is diagonal.

(Here we use the generalized notion for purity.)

~ choose basis $\{e_i\}$ of γ -eigenvectors of $H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, L)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \{e_1, \dots, e_r\} \text{ basis of } g_w^{-2} \\ \{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_w\} \text{ basis of } g_w^{-1} \end{array}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \gamma \cdot e_i = \lambda_i e_i \quad |\lambda_i| = q \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \quad (**)$$

$$|\lambda_i| = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad r+1 \leq i \leq w$$

$T := \overline{\{\gamma^n\}} \subset \overline{\{\gamma^n\}}$ is a subtorus of the diag. torus

$$D \in GL(H_1(X_{\bar{K}}, L)) \text{ w.r. to } \{e_i\}$$

$$\cdot T \curvearrowright D \text{ i.e. } X(D) \xrightarrow{\pi} X(T)$$

$$\text{where } \ker(\pi) = \{g \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \prod \lambda_i^{a_i} = 1\}$$

$$\text{i.e. } T = \{M \in D \mid \chi(M) = 1 \text{ for } \chi \in K\}$$

$$\text{But then } q^{\alpha} \cdot \text{Id}_{g_w^{-1}} \oplus q^{2\alpha} \cdot \text{Id}_{g_w^{-2}} \in T \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence the closure T' is given by

$$\alpha \cdot \text{Id}_{g_w^{-1}} \oplus \alpha^2 \cdot \text{Id}_{g_w^{-2}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{\neq 0}^{\times}$$

is in T . As $(*)$ is def. / $\mathcal{O}_x \overline{TCI}(p)$

Step 2: - follows for k number field by result of Bogomolov
 - for general k co-reduce to number fields by argument of Ribet

Conclusion: $h(p) \cap T' \in T'$ open and non-empty
 and hence contains non-empty open nbhd of $1 \in T'$.

Theorem 3: G_α as in Lemma 2, α not a root of unity,
 $x \in X(k)$. Then $G_\alpha \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_x[[\pi_n^e(x_i/x)]]_{\mathbb{I}^n}$
 semisimply for all n .

Proof of Main Theorem:

• by Prop 1 is enough to show clo. - for
 $\mathcal{O}_x[[\pi_n^e(x_i/x)]]_{\mathbb{I}^n}$ with induced
 W -filtration

• G_α as in Lemma 2

Clow - G_α is the desired one

Theorem 3 gives implicitly a σ_2 equiv. splitting s of

$$I_{I_1} \xrightarrow{s} I_{I_2}$$

This induces naturally

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} (I_{I_2})^{\otimes i} \xrightarrow{s^{\otimes i}} \mathbb{Q}_2[[\mathbb{F}_1^2(X_{E_1, X})]]_{I_1}$$

$$\cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} A_1(X_{E_1, D_2})^{\otimes i}$$

which is

- surj. by induction on n
- σ_2 -equivariant as s is

Then we are done by multiplication of \mathcal{L} -filtration.

