

# The theorem of Beilinson-Bernstein

Mini course at GRK retreat 2024

(with Andreas Bode & Johan Reichardt)

1. Talk: Representation theory of  $sl_2$

$$\mathfrak{g} := sl_2(\mathbb{C}) = \{ A \in Mat_2(\mathbb{C}) : \text{tr } A = 0 \}$$

a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ .

Properties: (i)  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}f + \mathbb{C}h + \mathbb{C}e$ ,

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non-commutative, e.g.

$$[h, f] = -2f, \quad [h, e] = 2e, \quad [e, f] = h.$$

(iii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, i.e. has no proper ideals.

(if  $I \neq 0$ , take  $v = af + bh + ce$  in  $I \setminus \{0\}$

and apply commutator relations to it ... )



(iii)  $V_{ad} := \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\rho(x)(y) := [x, y]$  (adjoint rep.)  
 $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

( Verification of (\*) amounts to Jacobi-identity

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0 )$$

We have obvious notions of sub/quotient representation, irreducible rep., direct sum, (iso) morphism of representations etc.

Say that a rep.  $(V, \rho)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is finite-dimensional  
if  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$ .

Here is the most important result on such reps.

Weyl's theorem: Any finite-dimensional  
rep. of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct sum of irreducible reps.

How can we determine the irreducible finite-dim.  
representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ?

Let  $V$  be an irreducible finite-dim. representation.

$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \curvearrowright V$  is diagonalizable endomorphism

$$\Rightarrow V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} V_{\alpha}, \quad h(v) = \alpha v \quad \forall v \in V_{\alpha}$$

How does  $e, f$  act on  $V_{\alpha}$ ?

Fundamental identity:

$$h e(v) = e h(v) + [h, e](v) = (\alpha + 2) e(v)$$

$$\Rightarrow e: V_{\alpha} \rightarrow V_{\alpha+2} \quad . \quad \text{Similarly, } f(V_{\alpha}) \subset V_{\alpha-2}$$

Choose  $v \neq 0 \in V_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $V_{n+2} = 0$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  minimal for  $f^m(v) = 0$ .

Using  $[e, f] = h$  + some induction  $\Rightarrow$

$$e f^m(v) = m(n-m+1) f^{m-1}(v)$$

Hence: \*  $n-m+1 = 0$ , so  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

\*  $\{v, f(v), f^2(v), \dots\}$  spans  $V$

\* eigen values of  $h$  are  $n, n-2, \dots, -n+2, -n$

\*  $\dim V_i = 1 \quad \forall i$  and  $\dim V = n+1$ .

Let's check this for our examples  $V_{\text{triv}}, V_{\text{std}}, V_{\text{ad}}$  :

$$(i) \quad V_{\text{triv}} = (V_{\text{triv}})_0$$

$$(ii) \quad V_{\text{std}} = (V_{\text{std}})_{-1} \oplus (V_{\text{std}})_1 \quad :$$

with  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  have  $h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

so  $(V_{\text{std}})_1 = \mathbb{C}x$ . Similarly,  $(V_{\text{std}})_{-1} = \mathbb{C}y$   
for  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

$$(iii) \quad V_{\text{ad}} = \underbrace{(V_{\text{ad}})_{-2}}_{\mathbb{C}f} \oplus \underbrace{(V_{\text{ad}})_0}_{\mathbb{C}h} \oplus \underbrace{(V_{\text{ad}})_2}_{\mathbb{C}e}$$



From here, it is not so hard anymore to show:

Classification theorem:

Let  $\text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^2 := \langle x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, xy^{n-1}, y^n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$

$\mathfrak{g}$ -action:  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{g}$  define inductively

$$A(f \cdot g) := A(f)g + fA(g) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$$

Then  $\text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^2$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -representation

and  $n \mapsto \text{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^2$  is a bijection

$$\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\cong} \{ \text{Irred. fin-dim. } \mathfrak{g}\text{-rep.} \} / \cong$$

What about infinite-dimensional representations?

$$\text{Let } T(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} T(\mathfrak{g})^d, \quad T(\mathfrak{g})^d = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{g} \quad (d \text{ times})$$

be the tensor algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Def: The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$

is the quotient of  $T(\mathfrak{g})$  by  $\langle x \otimes y - y \otimes x - [x, y] \rangle$   
 $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

Note: (i)  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is an associative f.g.  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra

(ii) elements are "polynomials" in  $e, h, f$ ;  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{g}) = \infty$

(iii)  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is non-commutative, the basic relation is  $x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y]$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \subset U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(iv) By construction, have equivalence

$$\{\mathfrak{g}\text{-representations}\} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{U(\mathfrak{g})\text{-modules}\}$$

An interesting element in  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus \mathfrak{g}$  is

$$\Omega := h^2 + 2h + 4fe$$

Let  $Z(\mathfrak{g}) :=$  center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$

Fact :  $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[\Omega]$

(for " $\subseteq$ " need so-called Harish-Chandra isom.)

A fundamental result on irreducible reps is :

Schur's lemma :  $\Omega$  acts on any irreducible

$\mathfrak{g}$ -representation  $V$  as a scalar in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Proof:  $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$  is an associative division algebra  
over  $\mathbb{C}$

(since  $\ker f, \operatorname{im} f$  are subrepresentations of  $V$  for  $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V)$ ), so  $\Omega \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{g}} V = \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

Example:  $V = \operatorname{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $n \geq 0$

$x^n \in V_n$  with  $e(x^n) = nx^{n-1}e(x) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(x^n) = h^2(x^n) + 2h(x^n) + 4fe(x^n)$$

$$= n^2 x^n + 2n x^n = (n^2 + 2n) x^n$$

$\Rightarrow \Omega$  acts on  $V$  by  $n^2 + 2n$ .

Back to infinite-dimensional representations:

$\mathfrak{b} := \mathbb{C}h + \mathbb{C}e \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  subalgebra  $\rightsquigarrow U(\mathfrak{b})$

Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  get homomorphism

$$U(\mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad h^j e^k \mapsto \lambda^j$$

Form  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module

$$M(\lambda) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

(a "Verma module" of weight  $\lambda$ )

Some properties of Verma modules:

$$* U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathbb{C}f) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\mathfrak{b}) \quad (\text{as bimodules})$$

$$\Rightarrow M(\lambda) = U(\mathbb{C}f) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda} \quad \text{as } U(\mathbb{C}f)\text{-module}$$

$\Rightarrow M(\lambda)$  has  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{v_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  s.t.

$$h v_i = i f^{i-1} [h, f] \otimes 1 + f^i \lambda \otimes 1 = (\lambda - 2i) v_i$$

Similarly, 
$$e v_i = (\lambda - i + 1) v_{i-1}$$

$$f v_i = (i + 1) v_{i+1}$$

$$(v_i := f^i \otimes 1)$$

\*  $M(\lambda) = V_\lambda \oplus V_{\lambda-2} \oplus \dots$ , all of dim 1  
with "highest weight"  $\lambda$

\*  $N(\lambda) := \sum_{N \subsetneq M(\lambda)} N$  is proper submodule, so  
is unique maximal submodule of  $M(\lambda)$

\*  $M(\lambda)$  has unique irreducible quotient  
 $L(\lambda) := M(\lambda) / N(\lambda)$ .



With some more work, can show (Verma's theorem):

\*  $M(\lambda)$  is irreducible iff  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}$

(so  $L(\lambda) = M(\lambda)$  is infinite-dim.)

\*  $L(\lambda) \cong \text{Sym}^{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^2$  if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(in this case  $N(\lambda) \cong L(-\lambda - 2)$ )

\* In general,  $M(\lambda)$  has finite length as  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Note that  $\Omega \cap M(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda + 2\lambda$

(as in the fin.-dim. case: take  $v_0 = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_\lambda$

and compute  $\Omega(v_0)$ )

$\Rightarrow$  in the subcategory ("block") of all

$\mathfrak{g}$ -representations with trivial central character

$\Omega = 0$  (i.e. in  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})/\Omega)$ )

have 2 Verma modules  $M(0)$  and  $M(-2)$ ,

and their irreducible quotients

$$M(0) \twoheadrightarrow L(0) = V_{\text{triv}} \quad \text{and} \quad M(-2) = L(-2)$$

↑ of dim  $\infty$ .

3 final remarks:

(i) The  $M(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , generate a subcategory

$\mathcal{O} \subseteq \text{Mod}(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ , whose simple objects

are given by the  $L(\lambda)$ .

(ii) Category  $\mathcal{O}$  admits a duality  $N \rightarrow N^\vee$ ,

e.g.  $M(\lambda)^\vee$  is given as

$$M(\lambda)^\vee := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{C}} (M(\lambda)_\alpha)^* \subset M(\lambda)^\vee^*$$

with  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action:  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $f \in M(\lambda)^\vee$ ,  $m \in M(\lambda)$

$$(x f)(m) := f({}^t_x m)$$

$M(\lambda)^\vee$  now contains  $L(\lambda)$  as unique

irreducible subobject.

(iii) The precise prediction of the multiplicities  $[L(\mu) : M(\lambda)]$ ,  $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  (in the general case of a classical Lie algebra) became known as Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture (K.-L., 1970s).

(Proved  $\sim 1980$  by Beilinson-Bernstein & independently Brylinski-Kashiwara).





