LECTURES ON RESTRICTION SPACES OF A^{∞}

DIETMAR VOGT

Seminar Lectures, Wuppertal, 14.01.2013 and 21.01.2013

1 Preliminaries

With $\mathbb{D} := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ we set

$$A^{\infty} := C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \cap H(\mathbb{D})$$

where $H(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} . Let E be a proper compact subset of the unit circle. We will study the space

$$A_{\infty}(E) := A^{\infty}|_{E} = \{f|_{E} : f \in A^{\infty}\}$$

equipped with the quotient topology of the restriction map.

An equivalent representation of A^{∞} is

$$A^{\infty}=\{f\in C^{\infty}_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})\ :\ \text{ all negative Fourier coefficients vanish}\}.$$

Here $C_{2\pi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the 2π -periodic C^{∞} -functions on \mathbb{R} .

So A^{∞} can be considered as the space of all functions on \mathbb{R} which have a Fourier expansion $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k e^{ikt}$ with rapidly decreasing Fourier coefficients (a_0, a_1, \dots) , that is $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| (k+1)^p < \infty$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The map $F \mapsto (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ defines an isomorphism $A^{\infty} \cong s$, where s denotes the space of rapidly decreasing sequences.

We will adopt throughout this draft the representation of A^{∞} as periodic C^{∞} -functions. Then $A_{\infty}(E)$ is given in the following way: $E \subset [0, 2\pi[$ compact and $A_{\infty}(E)$ is defined as above

We set $I_A(E) := \{ f \in A^{\infty} : f|_E = 0 \}$, that is, $I_A(E)$ is the ideal of E in the algebra A^{∞} . Then

$$A_{\infty}(E) \cong A^{\infty}/I_A(E)$$

and it is a nuclear Fréchet space.

EXAMPLE: If E has not Lebesgue measure 0, then $I_A(E) = \{0\}$ hence $A_\infty(E) = A^\infty$.

Definition 1.1 E is called a Carleson set if

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{d(x, E)} \, dx < +\infty.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Taylor and Williams, Novinger) The following are equivalent:

- 1. $I_A(E) \neq \{0\}$.
- 2. There is $f \in A^{\infty}$ such that $\{t \in [0, 2\pi[: f(t) = 0]\} = E$.
- 3. E is a Carleson set.

Lemma 1.3 If $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots$ denote the lengths of the disjoint intervals of which $[0, 2\pi] \setminus E$ consists, then E is a Carleson set if, and only if,

$$\sum_{n} \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} < +\infty.$$

Proof: For $0 \le a < b$ we have

(1)
$$\int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x, \{a, b\})} dx = (b - a) \log \frac{1}{b - a} + (1 + \log 2)(b - a).$$

If]a,b[is one of the disjoint intervals in $[0,2\pi[\setminus E,$ then $d(x,E)=d(x,\{a,b\}),$ from where easily follows the equivalence.

EXAMPLES: 1. $E = \{x_1, x_2, ...\} \cup \{0\}, x_n \setminus 0$. Then $\varepsilon_n = x_n - x_{n+1}$.

Assumption: There are $q \in \mathbb{N}$, C > 0 such that $x_n^q \leq C \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then

$$\sum_{n} \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \le 2\pi \log C + q \sum_{n} \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{x_n} \le 2\pi \log C + q \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{x} dx < +\infty.$$

2. E = the classical Cantor set. Then, obtaining the ε_n from the stepwise construction,

$$\sum_n \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{k-1} 3^{-k} \log 3^k = \frac{\log 3}{3} \sum_{k=1}^\infty k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{k-1} = 3 \log 3 < +\infty.$$

In both cases the set E is a Carleson set.

3. An example of a set of the type in case 1. failing our assumption and not being a Carleson set would be $x_n = \frac{1}{\log n}$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$

In this case $\frac{1}{n\log(n+1)\log n} \ge \varepsilon_n \ge \frac{1}{(n+1)\log(n+1)\log n}$, $\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \ge \log n$ for large n, from which the claim is easily derived.

2 The problem

CLAIM (PATEL 2011): For every Carleson set E the space $A_{\infty}(E)$ does not have a basis.

Basis: $e_1, e_2, ...$ is a basis of the topological vector space X if every $x \in X$ has a unique expansion $x = \sum_n x_n e_n$.

It was an important Problem of Grothendieck whether every nuclear Fréchet space has a basis. It was solved in the negative by MITYAGIN and ZOBIN. Many counterexamples have been given since then. If the claim was true it would have been quite interesting as being appearing in a not ad hoc constructed natural environment as a consequence of structural properties.

Our main result will be

Theorem 2.1 For $E = \{2^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the for E being the classical Cantor set the space $A_{\infty}(E)$ has a basis.

so disproving the above mentioned claim.

We will proceed in two steps:

- 1. Study the space $C_{\infty}(E) := \{f|_E : f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\}.$
- 2. Compare the spaces $C_{\infty}(E)$ and $A_{\infty}(E)$. Show that in our cases they coincide.

3 Structure of $C_{\infty}(E)$

A complete characterization of the function in $C_{\infty}(E)$ has been given by WHITNEY 1934. We will not use this and give a more suitable description in our special cases.

Most of the following applies to arbitrary compact subsets E of \mathbb{R} . But, due to our intended application we will assume $E \subset [0, 2\pi[$. It is quite obvious that

$$E_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) := \{ f|_E : f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \} = \{ f|_E : f \in C^{\infty}_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \} = \{ f|_E : f \in C^{\infty}([0,\pi]) \}$$

and the quotient topologies of the restriction in all three descriptions coincide.

Therefore the topology of $E_{\infty}(E)$ can be given by the quotient norms

$$|||\varphi|||_k := \inf\{||f||_k : f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ f|_E = \varphi\}$$

where $||f||_k = \sup\{|f^{(p)}(x)| : p = 0, ..., k, x \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$

We set $J(E) := \{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : f|_{E} = 0 \}$, that is, J(E) is the ideal of E in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$C_{\infty}(E) = C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/J(E)$$

and $C_{\infty}(E)$ is a nuclear Fréchet space.

Lemma 3.1 Let 0 be an accumulation point of E, $\varphi \in C_{\infty}(E)$ and $\varphi = f|_E$ where $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f^{(p)}(0)$ is uniquely determined by φ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: We proceed by induction: $f^{(0)}(0) = f(0) = \varphi(0)$

Assume $f^{(0)}(0), \ldots, f^{(p)}(0)$ to be determined. For $x \in E, x \neq 0$ there is ξ between x and 0 such that

$$f^{(p+1)}(\xi) = \frac{(p+1)!}{x^{p+1}} \left(f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j} \right).$$

For $x \to 0$ we have $\xi \to 0$ and therefore

(2)
$$f^{(p+1)}(0) = \lim_{x \in E, x \to 0} \frac{(p+1)!}{x^{p+1}} \left(\varphi(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^{j} \right)$$

and this determines explicitly $f^{(p+1)}(0)$.

Definition 3.2 $\varphi^{(p)}(0) := f^{(p)}(0)$ where $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f|_E = \varphi$.

Corollary 3.3 If 0 is an accumulation point of E and $f \in J(E)$ then $f^{(p)}(0) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: f is an extension of $\varphi \equiv 0$.

Of course the previous applies, mutatis mutandis, to any accumulation point of E.

We set $J^{\infty}(E) := \{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : f^{(p)}(x) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } x \in E \}$. Then $\mathscr{E}(E) = C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})/J^{\infty}(E)$ is the space of Whitney-jets on E.

Corollary 3.4 If E is perfect, then $J(E) = J^{\infty}(E)$.

and this implies

Proposition 3.5 If E is perfect, then $C_{\infty}(E) = \mathscr{E}(E)$.

This applies, in particular, to the Cantor set.

We will use the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.6 (Tidten) If E is the Cantor set, then $\mathcal{E}(E)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of s.

Theorem 3.7 (Aytuna, Krone, Terzioğlu) IF X is a complemented subspace of s and $X \oplus X \cong X$, then X has a basis, more precisely: then $X \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ for some α .

Here we define for any sequence $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2, \leq \cdots \nearrow +\infty$

$$\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha) = \{ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) : |\xi|_p := \sup_n |\xi_n| e^{p\alpha_n} < +\infty \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}.$$

Equipped with the norms $|\cdot|_p$ this is a Fréchet space.

We obtain:

Proposition 3.8 If E is the Cantor set, then $C_{\infty}(E)$ has a basis.

4 Structure of $C^{\infty}(E)$ if E has one accumulation point

We assume that $E = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ where $x_n \searrow 0$. In this section we set $\varepsilon_n = \min(x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n+1} - x_n)$ and as before we make the

Assumption: There are $q \in \mathbb{N}, \ C > 0$ such that $x_n^q \leq C \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

From our previous example we know that E is a Carleson set.

We introduce the following notation:

$$J^{\infty}(0) = \{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : f^{(p)}(0) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \}$$

$$J_{\infty}(0) = \{ \varphi \in C_{\infty}(E) : \varphi^{(p)}(0) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \} = \{ f|_{E} : f \in J^{\infty}(0) \}$$

and we first study the space $J_{\infty}(0)$.

We choose an even $\chi \in \mathcal{D}[-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}]$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0 and we set $\chi_{\varepsilon}(x) := \chi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$.

For any scalar sequence $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we set $f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n \chi_{\varepsilon_n}(x - x_n)$. Then $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $f(x_n) = \xi_n$ for all n.

Lemma 4.1 $f \in J^{\infty}(0)$ if, and only if, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\xi_n}{\xi_n^p} = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof: For every p and N we have

$$\sup_{0 < |x| \le |x_N|} |f^{(p)}(x)| = \sup_{n \ge N} |\xi_n| \|\chi_{\varepsilon_n}^{(p)}\| = \|\chi^{(p)}\| \sup_{n \ge N} \frac{|\xi_n|}{\varepsilon_n^p}$$

which proves the assertion.

The following holds without our general assumption on the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 4.2 1. If $\varphi \in J_{\infty}(E)$ then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{x_n^p} |\varphi(x_n)| = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. 2. If $\varphi \in C(E)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^p} |\varphi(x_n)| = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then $\varphi \in J_{\infty}(0)$.

Proof: 1. Let $\varphi = f|_E \in J_{\infty}(0), f \in J^{\infty}(0)$. Then we have

(3)
$$\frac{1}{x_n^p} |\varphi(x_n)| = \frac{1}{x_n^p} |f(x_n)| \le \frac{1}{p!} ||f^{(p)}||_{[0,x_n]}$$

and the right hand side converges to zero.

2. Follows from the previous Lemma, because

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x_n) \chi_{\varepsilon_n}(x) \in J^{\infty}(0)$$

and $f|_E = \varphi$.

Using our assumption on the sequence we obtain:

Proposition 4.3 Let $\varphi \in C(E)$. Then $\varphi \in J_{\infty}(E)$ if, and only if, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{x_n^p} |\varphi(x_n)| = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We set $\alpha_n = -\log x_n$ and set, as defined before:

$$\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha) = \{ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) : |\xi|_p := \sup_n |\xi_n| e^{p\alpha_n} < +\infty \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}.$$

Equipped with the norms $|\cdot|_p$ this is a Fréchet space.

Theorem 4.4 $\Phi: \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ maps } J_{\infty}(0) \text{ isomorphically onto } \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha).$

Proof: That Φ is an algebraic isomorphism follows from the previous proposition. From equation 3 we see that

$$|\Phi(\varphi)|_p \le \frac{1}{p!} \inf\{||f||_p : f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } f|_E = \varphi\} = |||f|||_p.$$

Therefore Φ is continuous and, due to the open mapping theorem, an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 4.5 Let $\varphi \in C(E)$. Then $\varphi \in C_{\infty}(E)$ if, and only if, there are numbers A_p , $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that $A_0 = \varphi(0)$ and for all $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$A_{p+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(p+1)!}{x_n^{p+1}} \left(\varphi(x_n) - \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{A_j}{j!} x_n^j \right).$$

In this case $A_p = \varphi^{(p)}(0)$ for all p.

Proof: Necessity follows from formula 2, from there also that $A_p = \varphi^{(p)}(0)$ for all p. To show sufficiency we us the E. Borel Theorem to find $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $g^{(p)}(0) = A_p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We set $h = \varphi - g|_E$ and estimate:

$$(p+1)! \frac{h(x_n)}{x_n^{(p+1)}} = \frac{(p+1)!}{x_n^{p+1}} (\varphi(x_n) - g(x_n))$$

$$= \frac{(p+1)!}{x_n^{p+1}} \left(\varphi(x_n) - \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{A_j}{j!} x_n^j \right) - \frac{(p+1)!}{x_n^{p+1}} \left(g(x_n) - \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{g^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x_n^j \right).$$

The second line converges to $A_{p+1}-g^{(p+1)}(0)=0$. So there exists $H\in J^{\infty}(0)$ with $H|_E=h$. We put f=H+g. Then $f\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f|_E=\varphi-g|_E+g|_E=\varphi$.

Theorem 4.6 The norms

$$\|\varphi\|_{k} := \max_{p=0,..,k} \left\{ |\varphi^{(p)}(0)| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{x_{n}^{p}} \left(\varphi(x_{n}) - \sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{\varphi^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x_{n}^{j} \right) \right\}$$

are a fundamental system of seminorms in $C^{\infty}(E)$.

Proof: If $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f|_{E} = \varphi$ then we have, by elementary estimates, $\|\varphi\|_{k} \leq 3\|f\|_{k}$. Since that holds for any such f we obtain $\|\varphi\|_{k} \leq 3\|\varphi\|_{k}$ for all k. So the topology generated by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ is weaker than the topology of $C_{\infty}(E)$.

By standard arguments one shows that $C^{\infty}(E)$ is complete in this topology hence, by the open mapping theorem, the topologies coincide.

We have already remarked that $C^{\infty}(E)$ can be considered as well as a restriction space of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ as also of $C^{\infty}_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$. We collect some information about the latter space.

Lemma 4.7 The following norms are a fundamental system of seminorms for $C_{2\pi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$

$$|f|_n := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_k|(|k|+1)^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ a_k \ Fourier \ coefficients.$$

The dual norms are

$$|\mu|_n^* = \sup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|(|k|+1)^{-n}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \ b_k = \mu(e^{ikt}).$$

They satisfy $|\mu|_n^{*2} \le |\mu|_{n-1}^* |\mu|_{n+1}^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here for any seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ the extended real valued dual norm is defined by $\|\mu\|^* = \sup_{\|x\|<1} |\mu(x)|$.

Theorem 4.8 If there is C > 0 such that $x_n \leq Cx_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|\cdot\|_k^2 \leq C_k \|\cdot\|_{k-1} \|\cdot\|_{k+1}$ for all k with suitable C_k .

Proof: not given here, see the original paper [12].

REMAK: The rather restrictive assumption on the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ cannot be relaxed. To show this we define

$$\varphi(x_n) = \frac{x_n^m}{m!}$$
 for $n > N$, $\varphi(x_n) = 0$ otherwise.

We may assume that $x_1 \leq 1$. We obtain

$$\frac{1}{x_n^p} \left(\varphi(x_n) - \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{\varphi(j)}{j!} x_n^j \right) = \frac{1}{x_n^p} \varphi(x_n) \quad \text{for } p < m$$

$$= \frac{1}{x_n^p} \left(\varphi(x_n) - \frac{x_n^m}{m!} \right) \text{ for } p \ge m$$

and therefore

$$= 0 for $p < m, n \le N$

$$= \frac{1}{m!} x_n^{m-p} for $p < m, n > N$

$$= -\frac{1}{m!} x_n^{m-p} for $p \ge m, n \le N$

$$= 0 for $p \ge m, n > N$.$$$$$$$$

This yields:

for k < m

$$\|\varphi\|_k = \frac{1}{m!} x_{N+1}^{m-k}$$

for k = m

$$\|\varphi\|_k = \max(\frac{1}{m!}x_{N+1};1) = 1$$

and for k > m

$$\|\varphi\|_k = \max(\frac{1}{m!}x_{N+1}; 1; \frac{1}{m!}x_N^{m-k}).$$

For given k we choose m = k and obtain:

$$\|\varphi\|_k = 1, \ \|\varphi\|_{k-1} = \frac{1}{k!} x_{N+1}, \ \|\varphi\|_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k!} x_N^{-1}.$$

The norm inequality in Theorem 4.8 then gives $(k!)^2 \leq C_k \frac{x_{N+1}}{x_N}$

$$x_N \le C_k(k!)^{-2} x_{N+1}$$

for all N, hence the assumption in Theorem 4.6.

We use the following results:

Theorem 4.9 (V.) Let E and F be nuclear Fréchet spaces. $A \in L(F, E)$ surjective and there are constants $C_k > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

1. $||Ax||_k \le C_k ||x||_{k+p}$ for all k and $x \in F$.

- 2. $||x||_k^2 \le C_k ||x||_{k-1} ||x||_{k+1}$ for all k and $x \in E$.
- 3. $||y||_k^{*2} \le C_k ||y||_{k-1}^* ||y||_{k+1}^*$ for all k and $y \in F'$.

then E has a basis, more precisely: there is β such that $E \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\beta)$.

Theorem 4.10 (V.) If $\limsup \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} < +\infty$, $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ nuclear and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\infty} \alpha) \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\infty} (\beta) \longrightarrow \omega \longrightarrow 0$$

exact, then $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\beta)$.

Here $\omega := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology.

Finally we obtain:

Theorem 4.11 If there are constants C > 0 and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n^q \leq C\varepsilon_n$ and $x_n \leq Cx_{n+1}$ for all n, then $C_{\infty}(E) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ where $\alpha_n = -\log x_n$. In particular, $C^{\infty}(E)$ has a basis.

Proof: We apply Theorem 4.9 to $F = C_{2\pi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $E = C_{\infty}(E)$ and $A : C_{2\pi}^{\infty} \to C_{\infty}(E)$ the restriction map. The assumptions are fulfilled by Lemma 4.7, Theorem 4.8 and the fact that $||Af||_k \leq 3||f||_k \leq 3|f|_k$ for every $f \in C_{2\pi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. proof of Theorem 4.6).

This shows that $C_{\infty}(E)$ is isomorphic to some space $\Lambda_{\infty}(\beta)$). By use of the E. Borel theorem we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{\infty}(0) \longrightarrow C_{\infty}(E) \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \omega \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\rho(\varphi) = (\varphi^{(p)}(0))_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Moreover $x_n \leq Cx_{n+1}$ implies that for $\alpha_n = -\log x_n$ we have $\limsup_n \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = 1$. Since in the above exact sequence $J_{\infty}(0) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ and $C_{\infty}(E) = \Lambda_{\infty}(\beta)$ we obtain from Theorem 4.10 that $C_{\infty}(E) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\beta) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$.

EXAMPLE. $x_n = 2^{-n}$, $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-n+1}$ hence $x_n \leq 2\varepsilon_n$, $x_n \leq 2x_{n+1}$. Therefore $C_{\infty}(E) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(n) \cong H(\mathbb{C})$. Here $H(\mathbb{C})$ denotes the space of entire functions which is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{\infty}(n)$ by $f \mapsto (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ where $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$.

5 Comparison of $A_{\infty}(E)$ and $C_{\infty}(E)$

We will use the following result:

Theorem 5.1 (Alexander, Taylor, Williams) If there are constants C_1 , C_2 such that

(4)
$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx \le C_1 \log \frac{1}{b-a} + C_2$$

for all $0 \le a < b \le 2\pi$, then $A_{\infty}(E) = C_{\infty}(E)$.

We first study the case of $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \cup \{0\}, x_n \searrow 0$. We set $\varepsilon_n = x_n - x_{n+1}$. To study formula (4) in the special case of $a = x_M$, $b = x_n$ we use formula (1) to obtain:

$$\sum_{n=m}^{M-1} \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} = \int_{x_M}^{x_m} \log \frac{1}{d(x, E)} dx - (1 + \log 2)(x_m - x_M).$$

Hence in this case the condition in Theorem 5.1 means the existence of C_1 , C_2 such that

(5)
$$\frac{1}{x_n - x_M} \sum_{n=m}^{M-1} \varepsilon_n \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \le C_1 \log \frac{1}{x_n - x_M} + C_2.$$

We use this to give an example of a Carleson set which does not fulfill the condition in Theorem 5.1.

Example: We set
$$E = \{x_{m,k} = 2^{-m+1} - k2^{-m-m^2} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0, k = 0, ..., 2^{m^2}\}.$$

We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider inequality (5) for the points $2^{-m} < 2^{-m+1}$ in E. We obtain:

$$2^{m}2^{m^{2}}(2^{-m-m^{2}}(m+m^{2})\log 2) = (m+m^{2})\log 2 \le C_{2}m\log 2 + C_{2}.$$

This should hold for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ which is impossible. So E does not fulfill the condition in Theorem 5.1.

On the other hand

$$\sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m^{2}} 2^{-m-m^{2}} (m+m^{2}) \log 2 = \log 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-m} (m+m^{2}) < +\infty$$

hence E is a Carleson set.

We will need the following elementary inequality. For that let $0 < a \le b$. From the mean value theorem we obtain $a < \xi < a + b$ such that

 $(a+b)\log(a+b)-a\log a=b(\log \xi+1)\leq b(\log(a+b)+1)\leq b\log b+(1+\log 2)b$ and this implies

(6)
$$a \log \frac{1}{a} + b \log \frac{1}{b} \le (a+b) \log \frac{1}{a+b} + 2 \log b.$$

Assume now that $0 < a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_m$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \le a_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. Set $a = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j$, then we obtain, using estimate (6) inductively,

(7)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \log \frac{1}{a_j} \le a \log \frac{1}{a} + 2a.$$

Assumption: $x_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We analyze estimate (4) under this assumption. Let 0 < a < b. We assume

$$x_{M+1} \le a \le x_M, \quad x_{m+1} \le b \le x_m$$

and set $]\alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_j[:=]x_{j+1}, x_j[\cap]a, b[, \varepsilon'_j = \alpha_j - \alpha_{j+1} \text{ and assume first } m < M$

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{M} \varepsilon_j' = x_{k+1} - a \le x_{k+1} \le \varepsilon_k \text{ for } m \le k < M.$$

By use of formulae (4) and (7) we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{x_{m+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx \leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{M} \varepsilon'_{n} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_{n}} + 4(x_{m+1} - a)$$

$$\leq (x_{m+1} - a) \log \frac{1}{x_{m+1} - a} + 6(x_{m+1} - a).$$

To handle the case m=M or the interval $]x_{m+1},b[$ we have to estimate the following situation $0 < A \le \alpha < \beta \le B$. We set $\xi' = \min(\xi,\frac{A+B}{2}), \xi'' = \max(\xi,\frac{A+B}{2})$ and obtain

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \log \frac{1}{d(x,\{A,B\})} dx = \int_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \log \frac{1}{x-A} dx + \int_{\alpha''}^{\beta''} \log \frac{1}{B-x} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \log \frac{1}{x-\alpha'} dx + \int_{\alpha''}^{\beta''} \log \frac{1}{\beta''-x} dx$$

$$= (\beta' - \alpha') \log \frac{1}{\beta' - \alpha'} + (\beta' - \alpha') + (\beta'' - \alpha'') \log \frac{1}{\beta'' - \alpha''} + (\beta'' - \alpha'').$$

Considering the cases $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \frac{A+B}{2}, \frac{A+B}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \beta, \alpha < \frac{A+B}{2} < \beta$ separately we arrive in every case at

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \log \frac{1}{d(x, \{A, B\})} dx \le (\beta - \alpha) \log \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} + 3(\beta - \alpha).$$

The factor three appears only in the last case and comes from the application of (6).

With $A = x_{m+1}$, $B = x_m$ and $\alpha = a$, $\beta = b$ this takes care of the case m = M. With $A = \alpha = x_{m+1}$, $\beta = b$ we obtain, using (6) for the last estimate,

$$\int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx = \int_{a}^{x_{m+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx + \int_{x_{m+1}}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx
\leq (x_{m+1} - a) \log \frac{1}{x_{m+1} - a} + 6(x_{m+1} - a) +
+ (b - x_{m+1}) \log \frac{1}{b - x_{m+1}} + 3(b - x_{m+1})
\leq (b - a) \log \frac{1}{b - a} + 8(b - a).$$

We have shown, rephrasing the assumption $x_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon_n$,

Theorem 5.2 If $x_n \leq 2\varepsilon_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $C_{\infty}(E) = A_{\infty}(E)$.

If $\varepsilon_{n-1} \geq \varepsilon_n$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ or, equivalently $x_n \leq \frac{1}{2}(x_{n-1} + x_{n+1})$ then the above assumption is special case of the assumption in Section 4. It can also be written as $2x_{n+1} \leq x_n$. Hence we have the following final result for the case of sets with only one accumulation point.

Theorem 5.3 If $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and there is C>0 such that $2x_{n+1}\leq x_n\leq Cx_{n+1}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ then $A_{\infty}(E)=C_{\infty}(E)\cong \Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha_n=-\log x_n$. In particular, the space $A_{\infty}(E)$ has a basis.

EXAMPLE: For $E = \{2^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the space $A_{\infty}(E)$ has a basis, more precisely $A_{\infty}(E) = C^{\infty}(E) \cong \Lambda_{\infty}(n) \cong H(\mathbb{C}).$

Next we study the $A_{\infty}(E)$ for the classical Cantor set. So E will denote in the sequel this set. We first make the

Remark: $(3^k E) \cap [0,1] = E$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

This is the reason to state the following elementary formula: For $M \subset [0,1]$ and a > 0 we have

(8)
$$\int_0^a \log \frac{1}{d(x, aM)} \, dx = a \log \frac{1}{a} + a \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{d(x, M)} \, dx.$$

Let now $0 \le a < b < 1$ be given. We set $b - a := \gamma = 0, \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_m$ where the last term denotes the triadic expansion of γ which we assume to be finite.

We set $a_0 = a$ and $a_k := a + 0, \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. That means $a_{k+1} = a_k + \gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1}$. Therefore

$$\int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{a_{k}}^{a_{k+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx.$$

We study the k-th term. It is an integral over an interval of length $\gamma_{k+1}3^{-k-1}$ and γ_{k+1} can take on the values 0, 1, 2. If $\gamma_{k+1}=0$ the term is 0, hence we assume $\gamma_{k+1}=1$ or 2.

We consider the subdivision of [0,1] into 3^k intervals of lengths 3^{-k} . We call them windows and we call a window:

white, if it has been already been excluded from the Cantor set, black, if it waits for treatment.

We first assume that $\gamma_{k+1} = 1$ and we consider three cases.

1st Case: Our interval is contained in a white window, then

$$\int_{a}^{a_{k+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} \, dx \le \int_{0}^{3^{-k-1}} \log \frac{1}{x} \, dx = 3^{-k-1} \log \frac{1}{3^{-k-1}} + 3^{-k-1}.$$

2nd Case: Our interval is contained in a black window. We estimate the integral by the integral over the whole window. Shifting the lower end of the window into 0 and then multiplying by 3^k we get the again the Cantor set. By use of formula (8) we obtain

$$\int_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x, E)} \, dx \le 3^{-k} \log \frac{1}{3^{-k-1}} + D_0 3^{-k}$$

where $D_0 = \log 3 + \int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx$ and this estimate is valid also in the 1st case.

3rd Case: Our interval hits a black and a white window. Then we estimate roughly by the sum of the estimates in the 1st and 2nd case and this estimate is, of course valid for all three cases.

If $\gamma_{k+1} = 2$ we have two intervals of length 3^{-k-1} . Therefore we may estimate by two times the estimate of the previous 3rd case and therefore four times the estimate in the 2nd case. So finally we obtain:

$$\int_{a_k}^{a_{k+1}} \log \frac{1}{d(x, E)} dx \leq 4 \cdot 3^{-k} \log \frac{1}{3^{-k-1}} + 4D_0 3^{-k}$$

$$\leq 12\gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1} \log \frac{1}{3^{-k-1}} + 4D_0 \gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1}$$

$$\leq 12\gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1} \log \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1}} + D\gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1}.$$

where $D = 4D_0 + 12 \log 2$.

So we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x,E)} dx \le 12 \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1} \log \frac{1}{\gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1}} + D(b-a).$$

We wish to apply inequality (7). Therefore we need

$$\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \gamma_{k+1} 3^{-k-1} \le 2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 3^{-k-1} = 3^{-n} \le 3^{-\nu}$$

where $\nu = \max\{k \le n : \gamma_k \ne 0\}$. Now (7) delivers

$$\int_{a}^{b} \log \frac{1}{d(x, E)} dx \le 12(b - a) \log \frac{1}{b - a} + (24 + D)(b - a)$$

for all triadic numbers a < b in [0,1[. Since E is Carleson, the function $\log \frac{1}{d(x,B)}$ is integrable, hence both sides of the above inequality depend continuously on a and b. Therefore the inequality is true for all $0 \le a < b \le 1$ and we have proved:

Proposition 5.4 If E is the classical Cantor set then $A_{\infty}(E) = C_{\infty}(E)$.

Together with our previous results we have shown:

Theorem 5.5 If E is the classical Cantor set then $A_{\infty}(E)$ has a basis. It is isomorphic to some $\Lambda_{\infty}(\alpha)$.

Theorems 5.3 and 5.5 show our main result Theorem 2.1.

References

- [1] A. Aytuna, J. Krone, T. Terzioğlu, Complemented infinite type power series subspaces of nuclear Fréchet spaces, *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), 193–202.
- [2] H. Alexander, B. A. Taylor, D. L. Williams: The interpolating sets for A^{∞} , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **36** (1971), 556–566.
- [3] A. Beurling: Ensembles exceptionnels, Acta Math. 72 (1939), 1–13.
- [4] B. S. Mitjagin, N. M. Zobin: Contre exemple à l'existence d'une base dans un espace de Fréchet nucléaire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 279 (1974), 255–256.
- [5] B. S. Mitjagin, N. M. Zobin: Contre-example à l'existence d'une base dans un espace de Fréchet nucléaire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 279 (1974), 325–327.
- [6] W. P. Novinger: Holomorphic functions with infinitely differentiable boundary values, *Illinois J. Math.* **15** (1971), 80–90.
- [7] S. R. Patel: Closed ideals of A^{∞} and a famous problem of Grothendieck, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **27** (2011), no. 3, 977–995.
- [8] B. A. Taylor, D. L. Williams: Zeros of Lipschitz functions analytic in the unit disc, Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971), 129–139.
- [9] M. Tidten: Fortsetzungen von C^{∞} -Funktionen, welche auf einer abgeschlossenen Menge in \mathbb{R}^n definiert sind, Manuscripta Math. 27 (1979), 291–312.
- [10] D. Vogt, Power series space representations of nuclear Fréchet spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 319 (1990), 191-208
- [11] D. Vogt, Kernels of power series matrices, In: Selected problems of mathematical physics and analysis: Collection of articles. To seventy birthday of Academician V.S. Vladimirov, Proc. V.A. Steklov Inst. Math. 203 (1994), 461-468.
- [12] D. Vogt, Restriction spaces of A^{∞} , to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **29.4** (2013).
- [13] H. Whitney, Differentiable functions defined in closed sets I, *Transactions A.M.S.* **36** (1934), 369-387.

Bergische Universität Wuppertal, FB Math.-Nat., Gauss-Str. 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany e-mail: dvogt@math.uni-wuppertal.de