

# THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF PERIOD DOMAINS OVER FINITE FIELDS

SASCHA ORLIK

ABSTRACT. We determine the fundamental group of period domains over finite fields. This answers a question of M. Rapoport raised in [R].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Period domains over finite fields are open subvarieties of flag varieties defined by a semi-stability condition. They were introduced and discussed by M. Rapoport in [R]. In this paper we determine their fundamental groups which answers a question raised in loc.cit.

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over a finite field  $k$ . We fix an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of  $k$  and denote by  $\Gamma = \Gamma_k$  the corresponding absolute Galois group of  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a conjugacy class of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS of  $G_{\bar{k}}$ . We denote by  $E = E(G, \mathcal{N})$  the reflex field of the pair  $(G, \mathcal{N})$ . This is a finite extension of  $k$  which is characterized by its Galois group  $\Gamma_E = \{\sigma \in \Gamma \mid \nu \in \mathcal{N} \implies \nu^\sigma \in \mathcal{N}\}$ . Every  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS  $\nu$  induces via Tannaka formalism a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -filtration  $\mathcal{F}_\nu$  over  $\bar{k}$  of the forgetful fibre functor  $\omega^G : \text{Rep}_k(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_k$  from the category of algebraic  $G$ -representations over  $k$  into the category of  $k$ -vector spaces. Two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS are called par-equivalent if they define the same  $\mathbb{Q}$ -filtration. There exists a smooth projective variety  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})$  over  $E$  with

$$\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})(\bar{k}) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{N} \text{ modulo par-equivalence}\}.$$

The variety is a generalized flag variety for  $G_E$ . More precisely, by a lemma of Kottwitz [K], there is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS  $\nu \in \mathcal{N}$  which is defined over  $E = E(G, \mathcal{N})$ . Thus we may write  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N}) = G_E/P$ , where  $P = P(\nu)$  is the parabolic subgroup of  $G_E$  attached to  $\nu$ . Further, after fixing a maximal torus and a Borel subgroup in  $G$ , we may suppose that  $\nu$  is contained in the closure  $\bar{C}_\mathbb{Q}$  of the corresponding rational Weyl chamber  $C_\mathbb{Q}$ .

A point  $x \in \mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})(\bar{k})$  is called semi-stable if the induced filtration  $\mathcal{F}_x(\text{Lie}(G)_{\bar{k}})$  on the adjoint representation  $\text{Lie}(G)_{\bar{k}} = \text{Lie}(G) \otimes_k \bar{k}$  of  $G$  is semi-stable. The latter means that for all  $k$ -subspaces  $U$  of  $\text{Lie}(G)$ , the following inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{1}{\dim U} \left( \sum_y y \cdot \dim \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}|U_{\bar{k}}}^y(U_{\bar{k}}) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\dim \text{Lie}(G)} \left( \sum_y y \cdot \dim \text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^y(\text{Lie}(G)_{\bar{k}}) \right).$$

In [DOR] it is shown that there is an open subvariety  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}$  of  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})$  parametrizing all semi-stable points, i.e.  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})(\bar{k})^{ss} = \mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}(\bar{k})$ . This open subvariety  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}$  is called the *period domain* to  $(G, \mathcal{N})$ .

The most prominent example of a period domain is the Drinfeld upper half plane  $\Omega_k^{(\ell+1)} = \mathbb{P}_k^\ell \setminus \cup \mathbb{P}(H)$  where  $H$  runs through all  $k$ -rational hyperplanes of  $k^{\ell+1}$ . This space corresponds to the pair  $(G, \mathcal{N})$  where  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k}$  and  $\nu = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \in \bar{C}_\mathbb{Q}$  with  $x_1 > x_2$  and  $x_1 + \ell \cdot x_2 = 0$ . Here we identify  $\bar{C}_\mathbb{Q}$  as usual with  $(\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1} \mid \sum_i x_i = 0, x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_{\ell+1}\}$ . The period domain  $\Omega_k^{(\ell+1)}$  is isomorphic to a Deligne-Lusztig variety and admits therefore interesting étale coverings, cf. [DL]. In [OR] it is shown that  $\Omega_k^{(\ell+1)}$  is essentially the only period domain which is at the same time a Deligne-Lusztig variety.

Period domains only depend on their adjoint data, cf. [OR], [DOR]. More precisely, let  $G_{\mathrm{ad}}$  be the adjoint group of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{ad}}$  be the induced conjugacy class of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS of  $G_{\mathrm{ad}}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})(\bar{k})^{ss} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(G_{\mathrm{ad}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{ad}})(\bar{k})^{ss} .$$

Also if  $G$  splits into a product  $G = \prod_i G_i$ , the corresponding period domain splits into products, as well. Thus for formulating our main result, we may assume that  $G$  is  $k$ -simple adjoint. Hence there is an absolutely simple adjoint group  $G'$  over a finite extension  $k'$  of  $k$  with  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} G'$ . In this case  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_t)$  is given by a tuple of conjugacy classes  $\mathcal{N}_j$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS of  $G'_k$ , where  $t = |k' : k|$ . Thus  $\nu$  is given by a tuple of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t)$ .

Our main result is the following. Let  $\ell$  be the (absolute) rank of  $G'$ . We denote by  $\pi_1$  the functor which associates to a variety its geometric fundamental group.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be absolutely simple adjoint over  $k$ . Then  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}) = \{1\}$  unless  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k}$  and  $\nu = (x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_{\ell+1}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$  with  $x_2 < 0$  or  $x_\ell > 0$ . In the latter case we have  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}) = \pi_1(\Omega_k^{(\ell+1)})$ .*

*More generally, let  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} G'$  be  $k$ -simple adjoint. Then  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}) = \{1\}$  unless  $G' = \mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}$  and such that the following two conditions are satisfied. Write  $\nu_i = (x_1^{[i]} \geq x_2^{[i]} \geq \dots \geq x_{\ell+1}^{[i]}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Then there is a unique  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , such that*

$$(i) \ x_2^{[j]} < 0 \text{ or } x_\ell^{[j]} > 0.$$

$$(ii) \ \sum_{i \neq j} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[j]} \text{ if } x_2^{[j]} < 0 \text{ resp. } \sum_{i \neq j} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_\ell^{[j]} \text{ if } x_\ell^{[j]} > 0.$$

*In the latter case we have  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})^{ss}) = \pi_1(\Omega_{k'}^{(\ell+1)})$ .*

*Acknowledgements:* I thank M. Rapoport for helpful remarks on this paper.

## 2. SOME PREPARATIONS

In this section we recall some results concerning the relation of period domains to Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT).

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $k$  and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{\nu\}$  be a conjugacy class of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -1-PS of  $G_{\bar{k}}$ . We abbreviate  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})$ . We fix an *invariant inner product*  $(, )$  on  $G$  over  $k$ . Recall that this is a positive-definite bilinear form  $(, )$  on  $X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  for any maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  defined over  $\bar{k}$ . The following conditions are required:

(i) For  $g \in G(\bar{k})$ , the inner automorphism  $\text{Int}(g)$  induces an isometry

$$\text{Int}(g) : X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow X_*(T^g)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad T^g = g \cdot T \cdot g^{-1} .$$

(ii) Any  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  induces an isometry

$$\sigma : X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow X_*(T^\sigma)_{\mathbb{Q}} .$$

The choice of such an inner invariant product induces together with the standard pairing  $\langle , \rangle : X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \times X^*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  an identification  $X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong X^*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  for all maximal tori  $T$  of  $G$  defined over  $\bar{k}$ . To the pair  $(G, \mathcal{N})$  there is attached an ample homogeneous  $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  given by

$$\mathcal{L} = G \times^P \mathbb{G}_{a, -\nu^*} .$$

Here  $\nu^*$  denotes the rational character of  $T$  which corresponds to  $\nu$  under the above identification (it extends to a character of  $P$ ). The following theorem of Totaro [To] describes the semi-stable points  $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$  inside  $\mathcal{F}$  via GIT. Here we denote by  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda)$  the slope of  $x \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k})$  with respect to the 1-PS  $\lambda$  and the ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  in the sense of GIT, cf. [MFK].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k})$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{F}^{ss}(\bar{k})$  if and only if for all 1-PS  $\lambda$  of  $G_{\text{der}}$  defined over  $k$  the Hilbert-Mumford inequality holds, i.e.*

$$\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda) \geq 0 .$$

Let  $\Delta_k = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  be the set of relative simple roots with respect to a fixed maximal split torus  $S \subset G$  and a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$  containing  $S$ . Note that  $G$  is quasi-split since  $k$  is a finite field. Let  $T = Z(S)$  be the centralizer of  $S$  which is a maximal torus over  $k$ . We let  $\Delta$  be the set of absolutely simple roots of  $G$  with respect to  $T \subset B$ . Then the relative simple roots are given by  $\Delta_k = \{\alpha|_S \mid \alpha \in \Delta, \alpha|_S \neq 0\}$ , cf. [Ti]. By conjugating  $\nu$  with an element of the (absolute) Weyl group  $W$ , we may assume that  $\nu$  is contained in the closure of the dominant Weyl chamber, i.e.,

$$\nu \in \bar{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\lambda \in X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta\} .$$

We denote by  $(\omega_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta} \subset X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$  the set of co-fundamental weights. Recall that they are defined by  $(\omega_\alpha, \beta^\vee) = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , let

$$\Psi(\alpha_i) = \{\beta \in \Delta \mid \beta|_S = \alpha_i\}.$$

We set

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_i = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)} \omega_\beta.$$

Up to multiplication by a positive scalar these are just the relative fundamental weights. In [O] we have shown<sup>1</sup> that in Theorem 2.1 it suffices to treat the vertices of the spherical Tits-complex [CLT] defined by Curtis, Lehrer and Tits. Thus

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k})$ . Then  $x \in \mathcal{F}^{ss}(\bar{k})$  iff for all  $g \in G(k)$  and for all  $i$  the inequality  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \text{Int}(g) \circ \omega_i) \geq 0$  is satisfied.*

We consider the closed complement  $Y := \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}^{ss}$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$ . For any integer  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , we set

$$Y_i(\bar{k}) := \{x \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k}) \mid \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \omega_i) < 0\}.$$

The sets  $Y_i(\bar{k})$  are induced by closed subvarieties  $Y_i$  of  $Y$  which are defined over  $E$ . Let  $P_i = P(\omega_i)$  be the parabolic subgroup corresponding to  $\omega_i$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is some integer such that  $n\omega_i \in X_*(T)$ , then

$$P(\omega_i)(\bar{k}) = \{g \in G(\bar{k}) \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Int}(n\omega_i(t)) \circ g \text{ exists in } G(\bar{k})\},$$

cf. [MFK]. This definition does not depend on  $n$  and  $P_i$  is defined over  $k$  since  $\omega_i \in X_*(S)_\mathbb{Q}$ . The natural action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  restricts to an action of  $P_i$  on  $Y_i$  for every  $i$ . It is a consequence of Prop. 2.2 that we can write  $Y$  as the union

$$(2.2) \quad Y = \bigcup_{i=1, \dots, d} \bigcup_{g \in G(k)} gY_i.$$

In [O] we proved that the varieties  $Y_i$  are unions of Schubert cells. More precisely, denote by  $W_P \subset W$  the parabolic subgroup induced by  $P$ . We identify the elements of  $W^P := W/W_P$  with representatives of shortest length in  $W$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \bigcup_{\substack{w \in W^P \\ (\omega_i, w\nu) > 0}} P_i w P / P \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{w \in W^P \\ (\omega_i, w\nu) > 0}} B w P / P. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Actually, in loc.cit. we considered the dual basis of  $\Delta_k$  which consists of certain positive multiples of  $(\omega_i)_i$ . This does not affect the statement.

The proof follows from the identity

$$\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(pw[\nu], \omega_i) = -(\omega_i, w\nu),$$

for all  $p \in P_i(\bar{k})$ ,  $w \in W$ . Here  $[\nu]$  denotes the point of  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  induced by  $\nu$ .

We conclude by (2.2) that

$$\dim Y = \max_{i=1, \dots, d} \dim Y_i.$$

On the other hand, each subvariety  $Y_i$  is a union of the Schubert cells  $BwP/P$ ,  $w \in W^P$ , with  $(\omega_i, w\nu) > 0$ . The dimension of  $BwP/P$  is  $\ell(w)$ , cf. [Bo]. Thus we deduce that

$$(2.3) \quad \dim Y_i = \max \{ \ell(w) \mid w \in W^P, (\omega_i, w\nu) > 0 \}.$$

Let  $w_0$  resp.  $w_0^P$  be the longest element of the Weyl group  $W$  resp. of  $W^P$ . Then  $w_0 = w_0^P \cdot w_P$  where  $w_P$  is the longest element in  $W_P$ . In particular

$$(2.4) \quad w_0\nu = w_0^P\nu$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \dim \mathcal{F} = \ell(w_0^P).$$

We shall examine in the next section when it happens that  $\dim Y = \dim \mathcal{F} - 1$ , i.e.,  $\text{codim } Y = 1$ .

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

From now on we assume that  $G$  is  $k$ -simple adjoint, i.e.,  $G = \text{Res}_{k'/k} G'$  for some finite extension  $k'/k$  of degree  $t$ , cf. [Ti]. Let  $\ell$  be the (absolute) rank of  $G'$ . We start with the case where  $G$  is absolutely simple adjoint i.e.,  $k' = k$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be absolutely simple adjoint over  $k$ . Then  $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$  unless  $G = \text{PGL}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\nu = (x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_\ell \geq x_{\ell+1}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$  with  $x_2 < 0$  or  $x_\ell > 0$ .*

*Proof.* The elements of length  $\ell(w_0) - 1$  in  $W$  are given by the expressions  $sw_0$ , where  $s \in W$  is a simple reflection. We deduce from (2.3) - (2.5) that there is some integer  $1 \leq i \leq d$  with  $\text{codim } Y_i = 1$ , if and only if there is a simple reflection  $s_\beta \in W$ ,  $\beta \in \Delta$ , with

$$(3.1) \quad (\omega_i, s_\beta w_0 \nu) > 0.$$

By the equivariance of  $(, )$  we get

$$(3.2) \quad (\omega_i, s_\beta w_0 \nu) = (s_\beta \omega_i, w_0 \nu).$$

1<sup>st</sup> case:  $G$  is split.

Thus we have  $\Delta_k = \Delta$ . Further, by [Bou] ch. VI, 1.10, we have<sup>2</sup>

$$s_\beta \omega_i = \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha_i \\ \omega_i - \alpha_i & \text{if } \beta = \alpha_i \end{cases}.$$

Since  $w_0\nu \in -\bar{C}_\mathbb{Q}$  we get  $(\omega_i, w_0\nu) < 0$ . Thus we conclude that  $\beta = \alpha_i$  is a necessary condition in order that (3.1) holds. Further, in this situation we get by (3.2)  $(\omega_i, s_\beta w_0\nu) > 0$  if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad (\omega_i, w_0\nu) > (\alpha_i, w_0\nu).$$

We start to investigate inequality (3.3) for the root system of type  $A_\ell (\ell \geq 1)$ . In this case the data is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ \omega_i &= \frac{1}{\ell+1} ((\ell+1-i)^{(i)}, -i^{(\ell+1-i)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ \bar{C}_\mathbb{Q} &= (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the definition of  $\omega_i$  the exponent  $(j)$  means that we repeat the corresponding entry  $j$  times. Further,  $w_0$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1}$  via

$$w_0(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell+1}) = (x_{\ell+1}, x_\ell, \dots, x_1).$$

Let  $\nu = (x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_{\ell+1}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$ . Then

$$(\omega_i, w_0\nu) = x_{\ell+1} + \dots + x_{\ell-i+2}$$

and

$$(\alpha_i, w_0\nu) = x_{\ell-i+2} - x_{\ell-i+1}.$$

Thus inequality (3.3) is satisfied if and only if

$$(3.4) \quad x_{\ell+1} + \dots + x_{\ell-i+3} > -x_{\ell-i+1} \quad \text{if } 1 < i < \ell$$

resp.

$$x_\ell > 0 \quad \text{if } i = 1$$

resp.

$$x_2 < 0 \quad \text{if } i = \ell.$$

Let  $1 < i < \ell$ . Then

$$x_1 + \dots + x_{\ell-i} + x_{\ell-i+2} \geq x_{\ell+1} + \dots + x_{\ell-i+3} + x_{\ell-i+1}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Here we make use of the identification  $X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} = X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$

as  $x_{\ell-i+2} \geq x_{\ell-i+3}$ ,  $x_{\ell-i} \geq x_{\ell-i+1}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell-i-1} x_j \geq 0$  resp.  $\sum_{j=0}^{i-3} x_{\ell+1-j} \leq 0$ . Thus (3.4) cannot be satisfied if  $1 < i < \ell$  since the sum over all entries in  $\nu$  vanishes. Hence the proof follows in the case of the root system  $A_\ell (\ell \geq 1)$ .

For the other split root systems, i.e., of type  $B_\ell, C_\ell, D_\ell, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2$ , we proceed as follows. We write down  $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i \omega_i$  as linear combination of the co-fundamental weights with non-negative coefficients  $n_i \geq 0$ . Note that  $n_i = (\nu, \alpha_i^\vee)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ . We get

$$w_0 \nu = - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j \omega_{\tau(j)}.$$

where  $\tau$  is the opposition involution of  $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , cf. [Ti]. In the case of  $B_\ell, C_\ell, D_\ell (\ell \text{ even}), E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2$  we have  $\tau = \text{id}$ . For  $D_\ell (\ell \text{ odd})$ , we have  $\tau = (\ell - 1, \ell)$ . Finally in the case  $E_6$  we have  $\tau = (1, 6)(2, 5)(3, 4)$ . In all cases

$$(\omega_i, w_0 \nu) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j (\omega_i, \omega_{\tau(j)}).$$

and

$$(\alpha_i, w_0 \nu) = -n_{\tau^{-1}(i)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$$

as  $\alpha_i^\vee = \frac{2\alpha_i}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$ . Since  $(\omega_i, \omega_j) \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ , cf. [Bou], ch. VI, 1.10, we get

$$(3.5) \quad (\omega_i, w_0 \nu) \leq -n_{\tau^{-1}(i)} \cdot (\omega_i, \omega_i).$$

Further one checks case by case by the explicit representation of the co-fundamental weights in loc.cit. p. 265-290, that

$$(\omega_i, \omega_i) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Hence we get by using (3.5)

$$(\omega_i, w_0 \nu) \leq (\alpha_i, w_0 \nu).$$

Thus we deduce that the inequality (3.3) cannot be satisfied for root systems different from  $A_\ell$ . Let us illustrate this argument for the root system of type  $G_2$ . Here the data is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \quad \alpha_2 = -2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3, \\ \omega_1 &= \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_2, \quad \omega_2 = -\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + 2\epsilon_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu = n_1 \omega_1 + n_2 \omega_2$  with  $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$ . We get  $w_0 \nu = -n_1 \omega_1 - n_2 \omega_2$ . Then

$$(\omega_1, w_0 \nu) = -n_1 (\omega_1, \omega_1) - n_2 (\omega_1, \omega_2) = -2n_1 - 3n_2$$

and

$$(\omega_2, w_0 \nu) = -n_1 (\omega_2, \omega_1) - n_2 (\omega_2, \omega_2) = -3n_1 - 6n_2.$$

Further, we compute

$$(\alpha_1, w_0\nu) = -n_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_1) = -n_1$$

and

$$(\alpha_2, w_0\nu) = -n_2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\alpha_2, \alpha_2) = -3n_2.$$

Hence

$$(\omega_1, w_0\nu) \leq -n_1(\omega_1, \omega_1) = -2n_1 \leq (\alpha_1, w_0\nu) = -n_1$$

and

$$(\omega_2, w_0\nu) \leq -n_2(\omega_2, \omega_2) = -6n_2 \leq (\alpha_2, w_0\nu) = -3n_2.$$

*2<sup>nd</sup> case:*  $G$  is not split.

Recall that  $\omega_i = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)} \omega_\beta$ , cf. (2.1). We get

$$s_\beta \omega_i = \begin{cases} \omega_i & \text{if } \beta \notin \Psi(\alpha_i) \\ \omega_i - \beta & \text{if } \beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i) \end{cases}.$$

Again we conclude that  $\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)$  is a necessary condition in order that (3.1) holds. Further  $(\omega_i, s_\beta w_0\nu) > 0$ , if and only if

$$(3.6) \quad (\omega_i, w_0\nu) > (\beta, w_0\nu).$$

Now we have

$$(\omega_i, w_0\nu) = \sum_{\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)} (\omega_\beta, w_0\nu) \leq (\omega_\beta, w_0\nu) \text{ for all } \beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i).$$

Thus by the computation in the 1<sup>st</sup> case, we conclude that a necessary condition in order that (3.6) holds is that the root system of  $G_{\bar{k}}$  is of type  $A_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ).

In this case the group  $G = \text{PU}_{\ell+1}$  is the projective unitary group of (absolute) rank  $\ell$  and  $d = \lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{2} \rfloor$ , cf. [Ti]. The co-fundamental weights  $(\omega_i)_i$  of  $\text{PU}_{\ell+1}$  are given as follows. Let  $\Delta = \{\beta_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \dots, \beta_\ell = \epsilon_\ell - \epsilon_{\ell+1}\}$  be the set of standard simple roots of type  $A_\ell$ . Then

$$\omega_i = \omega_{\beta_i} + \omega_{\beta_{\ell+1-i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1$$

and

$$\omega_d = \begin{cases} \omega_{\beta_d} & \text{if } \frac{\ell+1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \omega_{\beta_d} + \omega_{\beta_{d+1}} & \text{if } \frac{\ell+1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Thus by the explicit computation in the  $\text{PGL}_{\ell+1}$ -case, we see that if inequality (3.6) is satisfied, then we necessarily have  $i = 1$  and  $\beta = \beta_1$  or  $\beta = \beta_\ell$ . But we compute

$$(\omega_1, w_0\nu) = x_{\ell+1} - x_1$$



and

$$(\beta_1, w_0\nu) = x_{\ell+1} - x_\ell$$

resp.

$$(\beta_\ell, w_0\nu) = x_2 - x_1.$$

Hence we see that inequality (3.6) cannot be satisfied for  $G = \mathrm{PU}_{\ell+1}$  either.  $\square$

Next we determine explicitly the period domains for which the codimension of the closed complement is 1. So by Prop. 3.1 we may assume that  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k}$  and  $\nu = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell+1}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$ . We rewrite  $\nu$  in the shape  $\nu = (y_1^{(n_1)}, \dots, y_r^{(n_r)})$  with  $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_r$  and  $n_i \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $V = k^{\ell+1}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})(\bar{k})$  is given by the set of filtrations

$$(0) \subset \mathcal{F}^{y_1} \subset \mathcal{F}^{y_2} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}^{y_r} = V_{\bar{k}}$$

with

$$\dim \mathcal{F}^{y_i} = n_1 + \dots + n_i.$$

If  $x_2 < 0$  then  $n_1 = 1$  resp. if  $x_\ell > 0$  then  $n_r = 1$ . In order to determine the period domain, one can replace in the definition of a semi-stable filtration the Lie Algebra  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  by  $V$ , cf. [DOR]. Thus a point  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is semi-stable if for all  $k$ -subspaces  $U$  of  $V$  the following inequality is satisfied

$$\frac{1}{\dim U} \left( \sum_y y \cdot \dim \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}|U_{\bar{k}}}^y(U_{\bar{k}}) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\dim V} \left( \sum_y y \cdot \dim \mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}^y(V_{\bar{k}}) \right).$$

Then one computes easily that

$$\mathcal{F}^{ss}(\bar{k}) = \{ \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{y_1} \text{ is not contained in any } k\text{-rational hyperplane} \}$$

resp.

$$\mathcal{F}^{ss}(\bar{k}) = \{ \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{k}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{y_r} \text{ does not contain any } k\text{-rational line} \}.$$

Thus the projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}_k^\ell \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{F} & \rightarrow & \check{\mathbb{P}}_k^\ell \\ \mathcal{F}^\bullet & \mapsto & \mathcal{F}^{y_1} & & \mathcal{F}^\bullet & \mapsto & \mathcal{F}^{y_r} \end{array}$$

induce surjective proper maps

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{F}^{ss} \rightarrow \Omega_k^{(\ell+1)} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{F}^{ss} \rightarrow \check{\Omega}_k^{(\ell+1)}$$

in which the fibres are generalized flag varieties.

*Proof of Theorem 1 in the absolute simple case:* The proof follows from Proposition 3.1 and the following facts on fundamental groups of algebraic varieties. If  $\mathrm{codim} Y \geq 2$ , then we get  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^{ss}) = \pi_1(\mathcal{F}) = \{1\}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}$  is simply connected, cf. [SGA1], ch.

XI, Cor. 1.2. If  $\text{codim } Y = 1$  we are in the situation (3.7). Then the statement follows from [SGA1] Cor. 6.11 since the fibres of the maps (3.7) are simply connected. Note that the fundamental groups of  $\Omega_k^{(\ell+1)}$  and  $\check{\Omega}_k^{(\ell+1)}$  are the same since both varieties are isomorphic.  $\square$

Now we consider the general case of an  $k$ -simple adjoint group  $G$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G = \text{Res}_{k'/k} G'$  be  $k$ -simple adjoint. Then  $\text{codim } Y \geq 2$  unless  $G' = \text{PGL}_{\ell+1}$  and there is a unique  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , such that the following two conditions are satisfied. Let  $\nu_j = (x_1^{[j]} \geq x_2^{[j]} \geq \dots \geq x_{\ell+1}^{[j]}) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . Then*

- (i)  $\nu_j$  as in the absolutely simple case, i.e., with  $x_2^{[j]} < 0$  or  $x_\ell^{[j]} > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i \neq j} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[j]}$  if  $x_2^{[j]} < 0$  resp.  $\sum_{i \neq j} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_\ell^{[j]}$  if  $x_\ell^{[j]} > 0$ .

*Proof.* We conclude by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, 2<sup>nd</sup> case, that  $\text{codim } Y_i = 1$  if and only if there is a simple root  $\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad (\omega_i, w_0 \nu) > (\beta, w_0 \nu).$$

Let  $\text{Gal}(k'/k) = \{\sigma^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq t-1\}$  and denote by  $W'$  the Weyl group of  $G'$ . Since  $G = \text{Res}_{k'/k} G'$  we have  $W = \prod_{j=1}^t W'$  and  $w_0 = (w'_0, \dots, w'_0) \in W$ . Further, the natural restriction map  $\Delta'_{k'} \rightarrow \Delta_k$  is bijective where  $\Delta'_{k'} = \{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_d\}$  is the set of relative simple roots of  $G'$  with respect to a maximal  $k'$ -split torus  $S'$  such that  $S(k) \subset S'(k')$ . It follows that  $\omega_i = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \sigma^j \omega'_i$ . Here  $(\omega'_i)_i \in X_*(S')_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is defined with respect to  $(\alpha'_i)_i \in X^*(S')_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Furthermore,  $\Delta$  is formed by  $t$  copies of the set  $\Delta'$  of absolute simple roots to  $G'$ . We conclude that for each  $\beta \in \Psi(\alpha_i)$  there is an index  $j(\beta) = j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , with

$$(\beta, w_0 \nu) = (\beta, w'_0 \nu_j).$$

For all other indices  $h \neq j$ , we have  $(\beta, w'_0 \nu_h) = 0$ . We compute

$$(3.9) \quad (\omega_i, w_0 \nu) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (\sigma^j \omega'_i, w_0 \nu) \leq (\sigma^j \omega'_i, w_0 \nu) = (\omega'_i, w'_0 \nu_j).$$

Thus by the computation in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we conclude that a necessary condition in order that (3.8) holds is that  $G'$  is split and that the root system of  $G'$  is of type  $A_\ell$  ( $\ell \geq 1$ ).

So let  $G' = \text{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}$ . Then  $\Delta$  is given by the set  $\{\alpha_i^{[j]} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq t\}$ , where

$$\alpha_i^{[j]} = \epsilon_i^{[j]} - \epsilon_{i+1}^{[j]}.$$

Here  $\epsilon_i^{[j]}$  is the appropriate coordinate function on  $T_{\bar{k}} \cong \prod_{j=1}^t S_{\bar{k}}$ , where  $S$  is the diagonal torus in  $\text{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}$ . Furthermore, the sets  $\Psi(\alpha_i)$  are given by

$$\Psi(\alpha_i) = \{\alpha_i^{[j]} \mid 1 \leq j \leq t\}.$$

Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t) \in \bar{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We get  $w_0\nu = (w'_0\nu_1, \dots, w'_0\nu_t)$ , where the entries are given by  $w'_0\nu_j = (x_{\ell+1}^{[j]}, x_{\ell}^{[j]}, \dots, x_1^{[j]})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . In the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have seen that if the inequalities (3.8) and (3.9) are satisfied then  $\beta = \alpha_1^{[j]}$  and  $x_{\ell}^{[j]} > 0$  resp.  $\beta = \alpha_{\ell}^{[j]}$  and  $x_2^{[j]} < 0$  for some integer  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq t$ .

Let  $\beta = \alpha_1^{[j]}$  and  $x_{\ell}^{[j]} > 0$ . Then

$$(\omega_1, w_0\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^t x_{\ell+1}^{[i]}$$

and

$$(\beta, w_0\nu) = x_{\ell+1}^{[j]} - x_{\ell}^{[j]}.$$

Thus the inequality (3.8) is satisfied if and only if

$$\sum_{i \neq j} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_{\ell}^{[j]}.$$

Furthermore, we claim that the integer  $j$  is uniquely determined. In fact, suppose first that  $h$  is another integer with  $1 \leq h \leq t$  and

$$\sum_{i \neq h} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_{\ell}^{[h]}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $-x_{\ell}^{[j]} \leq -x_{\ell}^{[h]}$ . Then

$$-x_{\ell}^{[j]} \leq -x_{\ell}^{[h]} < \sum_{i \neq h} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} \leq x_{\ell+1}^{[j]} \leq -x_{\ell}^{[j]},$$

which is a contradiction. Here the latter inequality follows from the fact that  $x_{\ell+1}^{[j]} + x_{\ell}^{[j]} \leq 0$ , since  $\nu_j \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$ .

If in the opposite direction  $h$  is another integer with  $1 \leq h \leq t$  and

$$\sum_{i \neq h} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[h]}$$

then

$$x_1^{[j]} \leq \sum_{i \neq h} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[h]} \leq -x_{\ell+1}^{[h]} \leq -\sum_{i \neq j} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} < x_{\ell}^{[j]},$$

which is a contradiction, as well.

The case  $\beta = \alpha_{\ell}^{[j]}$  and  $x_2^{[j]} < 0$  behaves dually and yields  $\sum_{i \neq j} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[j]}$ .  $\square$

Again we determine explicitly the period domains where the codimension of the closed complement is 1. So let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t) \in \bar{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $\text{codim } Y = 1$ . After reindexing we may suppose that  $\nu_1 \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\ell+1})_+^0$  is the vector with  $\sum_{i \neq 1} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[1]}$  or  $\sum_{i \neq 1} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_{\ell}^{[1]}$ . Over the algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  the flag variety  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})$  is the product

$$\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})_{\bar{k}} = \prod_{j=1}^t \mathcal{F}(\text{PGL}_{\ell+1, \bar{k}}, \mathcal{N}_j)_{\bar{k}},$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_j$  is the  $\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, \bar{k}}$ -conjugacy class of  $\nu_j$ . Let  $\nu_1 = (y_1^{(n_1)}, \dots, y_r^{(n_r)})$  with  $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_r$  and  $n_i \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The corresponding period domain is then given by

$$\mathcal{F}(G, \mathcal{N})_k^{ss} = \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_1)_k^{ss} \times \prod_{j \geq 2} \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_j)_{\bar{k}}.$$

In the case  $\sum_{i \neq 1} x_1^{[i]} < -x_2^{[1]}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_1)^{ss}(\bar{k}) = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_1)(\bar{k}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{y_1} \text{ is not contained in any } k'\text{-rational hyperplane}\}.$$

For  $\sum_{i \neq 1} x_{\ell+1}^{[i]} > -x_\ell^{[1]}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_1)^{ss}(\bar{k}) = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{PGL}_{\ell+1, k'}, \mathcal{N}_1)(\bar{k}) \mid \mathcal{F}^{y_r} \text{ does not contain any } k'\text{-rational line}\}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1 in the general case:* The proof is the same as in the absolutely simple case and uses Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

We finish this paper by considering a non-trivial example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{k'/k} \mathrm{PGL}_{2, k'}$  with  $|k' : k| = 2$ . Then  $\nu$  corresponds to a tuple  $(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in (\mathbb{Q}^2_+)^0 \times (\mathbb{Q}^2_+)^0$ . Let  $\nu_1 = (x_1 \geq x_2)$  and  $\nu_2 = (y_1 \geq y_2)$ . Then  $x_2 = -x_1 \leq 0$  and  $y_2 = -y_1 \leq 0$ . If  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$  then we may assume after changing  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  that  $-x_2 > y_1$ . Note that we allow  $\nu_2 = (0, 0)$  to be trivial. Thus  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ , depending on whether  $\nu_2$  is trivial or not. Then  $E = k$  and the period domain is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{ss} = \Omega_{k'}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^j.$$

In particular, we get  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^{ss}) = \pi_1(\Omega_{k'}^2)$ . In the case  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$  we get  $E = k'$  and

$$\mathcal{F}^{ss} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \Delta(\mathbb{P}^1(k')),$$

where  $\Delta : \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  denotes the diagonal morphism. Here we have  $\pi_1(\mathcal{F}^{ss}) = \{1\}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Chapters 4–6, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002).
- [CLT] C.W. Curtis, G.I. Lehrer and J. Tits, *Spherical Buildings and the Character of the Steinberg Representation*, *Inventiones math.* **58**, 201–210 (1980).
- [DL] P. Deligne, G. Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **103**, no. 1, 103–161 (1976).

- [DOR] J.-F. Dat, S. Orlik, M. Rapoport, *Period domains over finite and  $p$ -adic fields, part II*, in preparation.
- [K] R.E. Kottwitz, *Shimura Varieties and Twisted Orbital Integrals*, Math. Ann. **269**, 287-300 (1984)
- [MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, Third edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2), **34**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).
- [O] S. Orlik, *The cohomology of period domains for reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **34**, 63-77 (2001).
- [OR] S. Orlik, M. Rapoport, *Deligne-Lusztig varieties and period domains over finite fields*, Preprint 2007, arXiv:0705.1646.
- [R] M. Rapoport, *Period domains over finite and local fields*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **62**, part 1, 361-381 (1997).
- [SGA1] *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*, Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61, Documents Mathématiques (Paris), 3. Société Mathématique de France, Paris (2003).
- [Ti] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **9**, 33-62 (1966).
- [To] B. Totaro: *Tensor products in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory*, Duke Math. J. **83**, No 1, 79-104 (1996).

UNIVERSITÄT LEIPZIG, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, POSTFACH 100920,  
04009 LEIPZIG, GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* orlik@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de