

# The cohomology of period domains for reductive groups over finite fields

Sascha Orlik

## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to give an explicit formula for the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of period domains over finite fields for arbitrary reductive groups. The result is a generalisation of the computation in [O] which treats the case of the general linear group.

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field and  $G$  a reductive algebraic group defined over  $k$  of semisimple rank  $d := \text{rk}_{ss}(G)$  resp. semisimple  $k$ -rank  $d' := k\text{-rk}_{ss}(G)$ . Fix a pair  $T \subset B$  consisting of a maximal torus  $T$  and a Borel subgroup  $B$  both defined over  $k$ . We denote by  $R$  the roots, by  $R^+$  the positive roots and by

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$$

the base of simple roots with respect to  $T \subset B$ . Let  $k'$  a finite field extension of  $k$  over which  $G$  splits and  $\bar{k}$  an algebraic closure of  $k$ . We denote by  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k'/k)$  resp.  $\Gamma_k = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  the associated Galois groups. We have a natural action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X^*(T)$  which preserves  $\Delta$  since  $G$  is quasi-split. Let

$$\Delta/\Gamma = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{d'}\}$$

be the set of orbits. If  $\lambda \in X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a rational cocharacter we will denote by

$$P(\lambda) = \{g \in G; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1} \text{ exists in } G\}$$

the associated parabolic subgroup and by

$$U(\lambda) = \{g \in G; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1} = 1\}$$

its unipotent radical.

Fix a conjugacy class

$$\{\mu\} \subset X_*(G)$$

of one-parameter subgroups (1-PS) of  $G$ , where  $\mu$  denotes a representative lying in  $X_*(T)$ . Let

$$E = \{x \in \bar{k}; \sigma(x) = x \forall \sigma \in \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_k}(\{\mu\})\}$$

be the Shimura field of  $\{\mu\}$ , an intermediate field of  $k'/k$ . According to a lemma of Kottwitz ([K] Lemma 1.1.3) there exists an element  $\mu \in \{\mu\}$  that is defined over  $E$ . Hence the conjugacy class  $\{\mu\}$  defines a flag variety

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(G, \{\mu\}) := G/P(\mu)$$

over  $\bar{k}$  that is defined over  $E$ . Notice that the geometric points of  $\mathcal{F}$  coincide with the set

$$\{\mu\} / \sim,$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{\mu\}$  are equivalent, written  $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$ , if there exists  $g \in U(\lambda_1)$  with  $g\lambda_1g^{-1} = \lambda_2$ . Finally we set  $\Gamma_E := \text{Gal}(k'/E)$ .

In the further text we identify a variety with the set of its closed points. Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  be a point which is represented by a 1-PS  $\lambda$ . It is well known that  $\lambda$  induces for every  $G$ -module  $V$  over  $\bar{k}$  a descending  $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtration  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\bullet(V)$  on  $V$ . In fact, let  $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda(i)$  be the associated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. Then  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^\bullet(V)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda^i(V) = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} V_\lambda(j), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As this filtration depends only on  $x$ , we denote this filtration by  $\mathcal{F}_x^\bullet(V)$ . Considering the adjoint action of  $G$  on its Lie algebra  $\text{Lie } G$ , we get in particular a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_x^\bullet := \mathcal{F}_x^\bullet(\text{Lie } G)$  on  $\text{Lie } G$ . We will say that  $x$  is semistable if the filtered vectorspace  $(\text{Lie } G, \mathcal{F}_x^\bullet)$  is semistable. For the latter definition of semistability confer [R1] -[R3] or [O] Def. 1.13. Following [R3] the semistable points of  $\mathcal{F}$  form an open subvariety

$$\mathcal{F}^{ss} := \mathcal{F}(G, \{\mu\})^{ss},$$

which is called the period domain with respect to  $G$  and  $\{\mu\}$ . It is defined over  $E$ . In his paper [T] Totaro has shown that there exists a relationship to the concept of semistability in Geometric Invariant Theory introduced by Mumford [M]. We shall explain this relationship in §2.

Choose an invariant inner positive definite product on  $G$ . I.e. we have for all maximal tori  $T$  in  $G$  a non-degenerate positive definite pairing  $(, )$  on  $X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$ , such that the natural maps

$$X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow X_*(T^g)_\mathbb{Q}$$

induced by conjugating with  $g \in G(k)$  and

$$X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow X_*(T^\sigma)_\mathbb{Q}$$

induced by conjugating with  $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$  are isometries for all  $g \in G(k), \sigma \in \Gamma_k$ . Here  $T^g = gTg^{-1}$  is the conjugate torus resp.  $T^\sigma = \sigma \cdot T$  is the image of  $T$  under the morphism  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G$  induced by  $\sigma$ . The inner product, together with the natural pairing

$$\langle , \rangle : X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \times X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

induces identifications

$$\begin{aligned} X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} &\longrightarrow X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda^* \end{aligned}$$

resp.

$$\begin{aligned} X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q} &\longrightarrow X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q} \\ \chi &\longmapsto \chi^* \end{aligned}$$

for all maximal tori  $T$  in  $G$ . We call  $\lambda^*$  the dual character of  $\lambda$  and  $\chi^*$  the dual cocharacter of  $\chi$ . Finally we get in a similar way an invariant inner positive definite product  $(, )$  on  $X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$  such that for a given root  $\alpha \in R$  the coroot  $\alpha^\vee \in X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$  coincides with  $\frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha^*$ .

Let

$$\{\omega_\alpha; \alpha \in \Delta\} \subset X_*(T)_\mathbb{Q}$$

be the set of fundamental weights of  $G$ . As we have already remarked at the beginning there is a natural action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Delta$ . Therefore this action induces a permutation on the set  $\{\omega_\alpha^*; \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . We define for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$  the following  $k$ -rational cocharacter of  $X_*(S)_\mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^* := \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \sigma \omega_\alpha^*.$$

Notice that if two simple roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$  lie in the same orbit with respect to  $\Gamma$  then the cocharacters  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_\beta^*$  will coincide.

Before we can state the main result of this paper, we have to introduce a few more notations. Let  $W_\mu$  be the stabilizer of  $\mu$  with respect to the action of  $W$  on  $X_*(T)$ . We denote by  $W^\mu$  the set of Kostant-representatives with respect to  $W/W_\mu$ . Consider the action of  $\Gamma_E$  on  $W$ . Since  $\mu$  is defined over  $E$ ,

this action preserves  $W^\mu$ . Denote the corresponding set of orbits by  $W^\mu/\Gamma_E$  and its elements by  $[w]$ , where  $w$  is in  $W^\mu$ . Clearly the length of an element in  $W$  only depends on its orbit. So the symbol  $l([w])$  makes sense. For any orbit  $[w]$  we set

$$ind_{[w]} := Ind_{Stab_{\Gamma_E}(w)}^{\Gamma_E} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

This induced representation is clearly independent of the specified representative. For every  $\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$  we set

$$\tilde{\omega}_\alpha := (\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*)^* \in X^*(T)_\mathbb{Q}.$$

If  $I \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  is any subset we define

$$\Omega_I := \{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E; \langle w\mu, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha \rangle > 0 \forall \alpha \notin I\}.$$

We get the following inclusion relation

$$I \subset J \Rightarrow \Omega_I \subset \Omega_J.$$

In the further text we denote for  $[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E$  by  $I_{[w]}$  the smallest subset of  $\Delta/\Gamma$  such that  $[w]$  is contained in  $\Omega_{I_{[w]}}$ . Obviously we have

$$I_{[w]} \subset I \Leftrightarrow [w] \in \Omega_I. \quad (1)$$

For a parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  defined over  $k$  we consider the trivial representation of  $P(k)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . We denote by

$$i_P^G = i_{P(k)}^{G(k)}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

the resulting induced representation of  $G(k)$ . Further we set

$$v_P^G = i_P^G / \sum_{\substack{P \subset Q \\ \neq}} i_Q^G.$$

In the case  $P = B$  we get the Steinberg representation [S]. Finally if  $I \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  we set

$$P_I := \bigcap_{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma - \{\alpha\}} P(\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*).$$

This parabolic subgroup is defined over  $k$  since the  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*$  are. Thus we can state the following theorem, which calculates the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology with compact support of the period domain  $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$  as representation of the semi-direct product  $G(k) \rtimes \Gamma_E$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *We have*

$$H_c^*(\mathcal{F}^{ss}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} v_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w]) - \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]})].$$

Here the symbol  $(n), n \in \mathbb{N}$  means the  $n$ -th Tate twist and  $[-n], n \in \mathbb{N}$  symbolizes that the corresponding module is shifted into degree  $n$  of the graded cohomology ring.

As in the case of the  $GL_{d+1}$  (cf. [O] Korollar 4.5) we can state the following result about the vanishing of some cohomology groups of period domains. The proof of this corollary is similar to the  $GL_{d+1}$ -case.

**Corollary 1.2** *We have*

$$H_c^i(\mathcal{F}^{ss}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d' - 1$$

and

$$H_c^{d'}(\mathcal{F}^{ss}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = v_B^G.$$

Theorem 1.1 has been conjectured by Kottwitz and Rapoport, who had calculated previously the Euler-Poincaré characteristic with compact support of these period domains in the Grothendieck group of  $G(k) \rtimes \Gamma_E$  representations (cf. [R3]). The formula for the Euler-Poincaré characteristic is accordingly

$$\chi_c(\mathcal{F}_g^{ss}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \sum_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} (-1)^{d' - \#I_{[w]}} v_{P_{I_w}}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])).$$

In the split case the formula of the theorem becomes

$$H_c^*(\mathcal{F}^{ss}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^\mu} v_{P_{I_w}}^G(-l(w))[-2l(w) - (\Delta - \#I_w)],$$

which has been already calculated for  $G = GL_{d+1}$  in a slightly different way in [O]. At this point I thank Burt Totaro, Michael Rapoport and Ulrich Görtz for useful discussions. Especially I am grateful for the decisive idea of Burt Totaro in the proof of the acyclicity of the fundamental complex introduced in §3. His proof is based upon geometric invariant theory, whereas the proof in [O] uses a contraction lemma of Quillen (cf. [Q] 1.5). Finally I want to thank the Network for Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry of the European Community for their financial support of my stay in Cambridge in March, where this paper was developed.

## 2 The relationship of period domains to GIT

In this section we want to explain the relationship between period domains and Geometric Invariant Theory. For details we refer to the papers [T] resp. [R3]. We mention, that Totaro has described in his article [T] the theory of period domains in the case of local fields. But as the reader verifies easily, all the proofs and ideas work also in the case of finite fields.

Let

$$M := P(\mu)/U(\mu)$$

be the Levi-quotient of  $P(\mu)$  with center  $Z_M$ . Then  $\mu$  defines an element of  $X_*(Z_M)$ . Let  $T_M$  be a maximal torus in  $M$ . Then we have  $Z_M \subset T_M$  and  $T_M$  is the isomorphic image of a maximal torus in  $G$ . So we get an invariant inner product on  $M$ . Consider the dual character

$$\mu^* \in X^*(T_M)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

As  $\mu$  belongs to  $X_*(Z_M)$ , the dual character  $\mu^*$  is contained in

$$X^*(M_{ab})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{Hom}(P(\mu), \mathbb{G}_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

The inverse character  $-\mu^*$  induces a homogeneous line bundle  $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_{-\mu^*}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . The reason for the sign is that this line bundle is ample.

Let  $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$  be a 1-PS of  $G$ . For any point  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  we can consider the slope  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  in  $x$  relative to the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  (cf. [M] Def. 2.2). Now we are able to state the following theorem of Totaro (cf. [T] Theorem 3).

**Theorem 2.1** (Totaro) *Let  $x$  be a point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $x$  is semistable if and only if  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda) \geq 0 \ \forall 1\text{-PS } \lambda \text{ of } G_{\text{der}}$  which are defined over  $k$ . Here  $G_{\text{der}}$  is the derived group of  $G$ .*

In order to investigate the GIT-semistability of points on varieties, it is useful to consider the spherical building of the given group. Let  $B(G)_k$  be the real  $k$ -rational spherical building of our fixed group  $G$ . Recall the definition of  $B(G)_k$  (cf. [CLT]). For a maximal  $k$ -split torus  $S$  of  $G$  we consider first of all the space of rays

$$(X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_{>0} := \{\mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda; \lambda \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\}\}$$

in  $X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$  starting in the origin. This space is homeomorphic to the  $(r-1)$ -sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{r-1}$ , where  $r$  is the  $k$ -rank of  $G$ . We can associate to every ray  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda \in$

$(X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  a well-defined parabolic subgroup  $P(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda)$  (cf. [CLT]), which is compatible with the old definition of  $P(\lambda)$  with respect to a rational 1-PS  $\lambda \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We also have a natural action of the  $k$ -rational points of  $G(k)$  on the disjoint union  $\coprod_{S \text{ } k\text{-split}} (X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . We will say that two rays  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda_1, \mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda_2$  are equivalent,  $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$ , if there exists an element  $g \in P(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\lambda_1)(k)$  which transforms the one ray into the other. Finally we set

$$B(G)_k := \left( \coprod_{S \text{ } k\text{-split}} (X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \right) / \sim$$

and supply this set with the induced topology. Again we can associate to every point  $x \in B(G)_k$  a well-defined  $k$ -rational parabolic subgroup  $P(x)$  of  $G$ . If  $S$  is any maximal  $k$ -split torus then we have an injection  $B(S)_k \hookrightarrow B(G)_k$ . The space  $B(S)_k$  is called the apartment belonging to  $S$ .

Assume for the remainder of this section that our group  $G$  is semisimple. In this case the space  $B(G)_k$  is homeomorphic to the geometric realisation of the combinatorial building (cf. [CLT], 6.1). Thus we have a simplicial structure on  $B(G)_k$  which is defined as follows. For a  $k$ -rational parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  we let

$$D(P) := \{x \in B(G)_k; P(x) \supset P\}$$

be the facette corresponding to  $P$ . If  $P$  is a minimal parabolic subgroup, i.e. a Borel as  $G$  is quasi-split, then we call  $D(P)$  a chamber of  $B(G)_k$ . If in contrast  $P$  is a proper maximal subgroup then  $D(P)$  is called a vertex.

Consider the  $k$ -rational cocharacters  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^*, \alpha \in \Delta$ , introduced in the previous section. These cocharacters correspond then to the vertices of the chamber  $D_0 := D(B)$ , since the  $P(\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^*), \alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ , are the maximal  $k$ -rational parabolic subgroups that contain  $B$ . For any other chamber  $D = D(P)$  in  $B(G)_k$ , there exists a  $g \in G(k)$ , such that the conjugated elements  $g\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^*g^{-1}, \alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ , correspond to the vertices of  $D$ . The element  $g$  is of course unique up to multiplication by an element of  $P(k)$  from the left. Therefore we choose for the rest of this paper for every chamber  $D$  an element  $g_D$  with the above property. The element  $g_{D_0}$  should be of course the obvious one. With this choice, we define for every chamber  $D$  in  $B(G)_k$  the simplex

$$\tilde{D} := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma} r_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha}; 0 \leq r_{\alpha} \leq 1, \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} = 1 \right\} \subset X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

which is the convex hull of the fixed set of representatives  $\lambda_{\alpha} := g_D \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^* g_D^{-1} \in X_*(S)_{\mathbb{R}}, \alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ . The topological spaces  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are obviously homeomor-

phic. For the standard chamber  $D_0$  we have in particular the description

$$\tilde{D}_0 := \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma} r_\alpha \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*; 0 \leq r_\alpha \leq 1, \sum_{\alpha} r_\alpha = 1 \right\}.$$

We can extend  $\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \cdot)$  in a well-known way to a function on  $X_*(T)_\mathbb{R}$  for every maximal torus  $T$  in  $G$ . Notice that the slope function  $\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \cdot)$  is not defined on  $D$  but on  $\tilde{D}$ . In spite of this fact we will say that  $\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \cdot)$  is affine on  $D$  if it is affine on  $\tilde{D}$ , i.e. if following equality holds:

$$\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \lambda_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda_\alpha) \quad \forall \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \in \tilde{D}.$$

In the case of the special linear group we can calculate the slope of a point explicitly. If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  are two filtrations on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  we set

$$(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha \beta \dim gr_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha (gr_{\mathcal{F}'}^\beta (V)).$$

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $G = SL(V)$ .*

(i) *Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\lambda \in X_*(G)$  with corresponding filtration  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  on  $V_{\bar{k}} = V \otimes_k \bar{k}$ . Then*

$$\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = -(\mathcal{F}_x(V_{\bar{k}}), \mathcal{F}_\lambda).$$

(ii) *Let  $T \subset G$  be a maximal torus and  $\lambda, \lambda' \in X_*(T)$ . Then*

$$(\lambda, \lambda') = (\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda'}).$$

**Proof:** (i) If the point  $x$  is fixed by  $\lambda$  then our statement is just a result of Totaro (cf. [T] Lemma 6 and part (ii) of this lemma). In general let  $x_0 := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)x \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then we know that  $\mu^\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \mu^\mathcal{L}(x_0, \lambda)$  (cf. [M] Def. 2.2, property (iv)). On the other hand let  $\mathcal{F}_x^\bullet(V)$  resp.  $\mathcal{F}_{x_0}^\bullet(V)$  be the corresponding filtrations on  $V$ . Then we claim that

$$gr_{\mathcal{F}_x}^\alpha (\mathcal{F}_x^\beta (V)) \cong gr_{\mathcal{F}_{x_0}}^\alpha (\mathcal{F}_{x_0}^\beta (V)) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z},$$

proving our assertion. Indeed let  $W \subset V$  be any subspace. For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set

$$W_\alpha := im(gr_{\mathcal{F}_x}^\alpha (W) \hookrightarrow V_\lambda(\alpha)),$$



where  $V = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{\lambda}(\alpha)$  is the grading of  $V$ , which is induced by  $\lambda$ . Then we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot W = \sum_{\alpha} W_{\alpha},$$

considered as points of the corresponding grassmanian variety. But then

$$gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}}^{\alpha}(W) \cong W_{\alpha} = gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}}^{\alpha}(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t) \cdot W) \quad \forall \alpha,$$

and the claim follows.

(ii) Choose a basis of  $V$  such that  $T$  is the diagonal torus of  $SL_{d+1}$ . Then we may identify  $\lambda$  resp.  $\lambda'$  with  $d + 1$ -tuples  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d+1})$  resp.  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ . Obviously we have  $gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}}^{\alpha}(V) = V_{\lambda}(\alpha)$  resp.  $gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda'}}^{\alpha}(V) = V_{\lambda'}(\alpha)$  and  $gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}}^{\alpha}(gr_{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda'}}^{\beta}(V)) = V_{\lambda}(\alpha) \cap V_{\lambda'}(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda'}) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \alpha \beta \dim(V_{\lambda}(\alpha) \cap V_{\lambda'}(\beta)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \alpha \beta \#\{i; \lambda_i = \alpha, \lambda'_i = \beta\} = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \lambda_i \lambda'_i = (\lambda, \lambda'). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The idea of the next proposition is due to Burt Totaro which is a decisive point in proving the acyclicity of the fundamental complex in Theorem 3.1.

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  be any point. The slope function  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \cdot)$  is affine on each chamber of  $B(G)_k$ .*

**Proof:** We may assume that our group is  $k$ -simple. Choose a faithful representation

$$i : G \hookrightarrow SL_n =: G'$$

which is defined over  $k$ . Set  $\mu' := i \circ \mu \in X_*(G')$ . We get a closed immersion

$$i : \mathcal{F}(G, \{\mu\}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(G', \{\mu'\}) =: \mathcal{F}'$$

of the corresponding flag varieties, under which  $\mu$  is mapped to  $\mu'$ . We assume that we have an invariant inner product on  $SL_n$  which restricts to our fixed one on  $G$ . This is not really a restriction since two such inner products on a  $k$ -simple group differ only by a positive scalar (cf. [T] Lemma 7). The line bundle  $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L}_{-\mu'}$  on  $\mathcal{F}'$ , defined in a similar way as  $\mathcal{L}$ , restricts then via the

pullback to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Because of the equality  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda) = \mu^{\mathcal{L}'}(i(x), i \circ \lambda)$  ([M] property (iii) following Def. 2.2) we can restrict ourselves to the case  $G = SL(V)$ . Let  $\lambda \in \{\mu\}$  be a 1-PS representing  $x$ . Let  $S \subset G$  be a maximal  $k$ -split torus, such that the corresponding apartment contains both  $D$ , the chamber with representatives  $\lambda_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ , of its vertices and  $\lambda$ . Using the previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \lambda_\alpha) &= -(\mathcal{F}_x(V_{\bar{k}}), \mathcal{F}_{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \lambda_\alpha}) = -(\lambda, \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \lambda_\alpha) = \\ &- \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha (\lambda, \lambda_\alpha) = - \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha (\mathcal{F}_x(V), \mathcal{F}_\lambda) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta/I} r_\alpha \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda_\alpha). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

I want to stress that the previous corollary fails for arbitrary varieties. In general the slope function is only convex (cf. [M] Cor. 2.13).

**Corollary 2.4** *Let  $x$  be a point in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $x$  is not semistable  $\Leftrightarrow$  There exists a  $g \in G(k)$  and an  $\alpha \in \Delta$  such that  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, g\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*g^{-1}) < 0$ .*

**Proof:** The direction “ $\Leftarrow$ ” is clear. So let  $\lambda$  be a  $k$ -rational 1-PS with  $\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda) < 0$ . Let  $g \in G(k)$  such that  $\text{Int}(g^{-1}) \circ \lambda$  lies in the simplex  $\tilde{D}_0$  spanned by the rational 1-PS  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*, \alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ . Thus we can write  $\lambda$  in the form  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha} r_\alpha g\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*g^{-1}$ , with  $0 \leq r_\alpha \leq 1$ . The statement follows now immediately from Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

### 3 The fundamental complex

Let  $G$  be again an arbitrary reductive group. In this section we will construct an acyclic complex of étale sheaves on the closed complement

$$Y := \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}^{ss}$$

of the period domain  $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$  which is defined over  $E$  as well. This complex yields a method to calculate the cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}^{ss}$ .

For any subset  $I \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  we set

$$Y_I := \{x \in \mathcal{F}; \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) < 0 \forall \alpha \notin I\}.$$

**Lemma 3.1** a) The set  $Y_I$  induces a closed subvariety of  $Y$  defined over  $E$ .

b) The natural action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  restricts to an action of  $P_I$  on  $Y_I$  for every  $I$ .

**Proof:** It is enough to show the statement in the extreme case  $I = \Delta/\Gamma - \{\alpha\}$ . Choosing an  $G$ -linearized embedding  $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  into some projective space (cf. [M] Prop. 1.7), we may restrict ourselves to show that the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{P}(V); \mu(x, \lambda) \leq 0\}$  is closed for every  $\lambda \in X(G)_k$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V(i)$  be the grading induced by  $\lambda$ . Then the above set is just the closed subspace  $\mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V(i))$ , and the first assertion follows. The second statement results immediately from the fact that

$$\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(px, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) = \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) \forall p \in P(\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) \text{ (cf. [M] Prop. 2.7)}$$

□

It is a consequence of Corollary 2.4 that we can write  $Y$  as the union

$$Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma} \bigcup_{g \in G(k)} gY_{\Delta/\Gamma - \{\alpha\}}.$$

Now, let  $F$  be an étale sheaf on  $Y$ . Let  $I \subset J$  be two subsets of  $\Delta/\Gamma$  with  $\#(J \setminus I) = 1$ . Let further  $g \in (G/P_I)(k), h \in (G/P_J)(k)$  two elements, such that  $g$  is mapped to  $h$  under the canonical projection  $(G/P_I)(k) \rightarrow (G/P_J)(k)$ . In this case we let

$$p_{I,J}^{g,h} : (h\phi_J)_*(h\phi_J)^*F \rightarrow (g\phi_I)_*(g\phi_I)^*F$$

be the natural morphism of étale sheaves on  $Y$  which is induced by the closed embedding  $gY_I \hookrightarrow hY_J$ . If  $g$  is not mapped to  $h$  then we set  $p_{I,J}^{g,h} = 0$ . Finally we define

$$p_{I,J} = \bigoplus_{(g,h) \in (G/P_I)(k) \times (G/P_J)(k)} p_{I,J}^{g,h} : \bigoplus_{h \in (G/P_J)(k)} (h\phi_J)_*(h\phi_J)^*F \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (g\phi_I)_*(g\phi_I)^*F.$$

For two arbitrary subsets  $I, J \subset S$  with  $\#J - \#I = 1$  we set

$$d_{I,J} = \begin{cases} (-1)^i p_{I,J} & : J = I \cup \{\bar{\alpha}_i\} \\ 0 & : I \not\subset J \end{cases}.$$

We get a complex of étale sheaves on  $Y$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) : 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 1}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (\phi_{g,I})_* (\phi_{g,I})^* F \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 2}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (\phi_{g,I})_* (\phi_{g,I})^* F \rightarrow \\
\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = d'-1}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (\phi_{g,I})_* (\phi_{g,I})^* F \rightarrow \bigoplus_{g \in (G/B)(k)} (\phi_{g,\emptyset})_* (\phi_{g,\emptyset})^* F \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_{g,I}$  denotes the closed immersion  $gY_I \hookrightarrow Y$ .

One essential step in order to calculate the cohomology of our period domain is the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** *The above complex is acyclic.*

**Proof:** Let  $x \in Y(k^{sep})$  be a geometric point. Localizing in  $x$  yields a chain complex which is precisely the chain complex that computes the homology with coefficient group  $F_x$  of a subcomplex of the combinatorial Tits complex to  $G(k)$ . Strictly speaking this subcomplex corresponds to the following subset of the set of vertices of the Tits building:

$$\{gP(\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*)g^{-1}; g \in G(k), \alpha \in \Delta \text{ s.t. } \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, g\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*g^{-1}) < 0\}.$$

We will show that this combinatorial subcomplex is contractible. Let  $T_x$  be its canonical geometric realisation in the real spherical building  $B(G)_k$ . Then  $T_x$  is already contained in  $B(G_{der})_k \subset B(G)_k$ . The next two lemmas will show that the topological space  $T_x$  is contractible.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $C_x := \{\lambda \in B(G_{der})_k; \frac{\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \lambda)}{\|\lambda\|} < 0\}$ . This set is convex. The intersection of  $C_x$  with each chamber in  $B(G_{der})_k$  is convex. (For the definition of convex we refer to [M].)*

**Proof:** In the case that the group  $G_{der}$  is split this is just [M] Cor. 2.16. But the proof for the general case goes through in the same way.  $\square$

Notice that we get an inclusion  $T_x \hookrightarrow C_x$  because the slope-function is affine on every chamber of  $B(G_{der})_k$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *The inclusion  $T_x \hookrightarrow C_x$  is a deformation retract.*

**Proof:** Let  $D = gD_0, g \in G(k)$  be a chamber in the real spherical building  $B(G)_k$  with  $D \cap C_x \neq \emptyset$ . Following Lemma 3.3 this intersection is a convex set, where  $D \cap T_x$  lies in the boundary of this space. Thus we can construct a deformation retract between  $D \cap C_x$  and  $D \cap T_x$  in the following way. Let  $\Lambda := \{\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma : g\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*g^{-1} \in T_x\}$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{D \cap C_x}$  resp.  $\widetilde{D \cap T_x}$  the preimage of  $D \cap C_x$  resp.  $D \cap T_x$  under the canonical homoeomorphism  $\widetilde{D} \rightarrow D$ . Let

$$\phi_D : (D \cap C_x) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow T_x$$

be the map which is induced by the map

$$\phi_{\widetilde{D}} : (\widetilde{D \cap C_x}) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \widetilde{D \cap T_x}$$

defined by

$$\phi_{\widetilde{D}}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} r_\alpha g \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^* g^{-1} + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} r_\alpha g \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^* g^{-1}, t\right) := \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} r_\alpha g \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^* g^{-1} + \sum_{\alpha \notin \Lambda} t r_\alpha g \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^* g^{-1}.$$

This is a continuous map and one checks easily that the collection of these maps paste together to a continuous map

$$\phi : C_x \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow T_x$$

which induces a deformation retraction from  $T_x$  to  $C_x$ . □

## 4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

This last part of the paper deals with the evaluation of the complex (\*) in the case of the  $\ell$ -adic sheaf  $F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ .

**Proposition 4.1** *We have the following description of the closed varieties  $Y_I$  in terms of the Bruhat cells of  $G$  with respect to  $P(\mu)$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_I &= \bigcup_{w \in \Omega_I} P(\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*)wP(\mu)/P(\mu) \\ &= \bigcup_{w \in \Omega_I} BwP(\mu)/P(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** It is enough to show the assertion in the case  $I = \Delta/\Gamma - \{\alpha\}$  for an element  $\alpha \in \Delta/\Gamma$ , since the sets  $\Omega_I$  and  $Y_I$  are compatible with forming intersections relative to the sets  $I$ , i.e.

$$\Omega_{I \cap J} = \Omega_I \cap \Omega_J$$

resp.

$$Y_{I \cap J} = Y_I \cap Y_J, \forall I, J \subset \Delta/\Gamma.$$

Let  $p$  be an element of  $P(\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*)$ . We have the equality

$$\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(px, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) = \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(x, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$$

(cf. [M] Prop. 2.7). The proposition follows now immediately from the equalities

$$\mu^{\mathcal{L}}(pw\mu, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) = \mu^{\mathcal{L}}(w\mu, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) = -(w\mu, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^*) = -\langle w\mu, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha \rangle. \quad \square$$

The above cell decomposition for the varieties  $Y_I$  allows us to calculate the cohomology of them. The proof is the same as in the case of  $GL_{d+1}$  (cf. [O] Prop. 7.1) and will be omitted.

### Proposition 4.2

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^*(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{[w] \in \Omega_I} \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w])] \quad (2)$$

In the following we denote for an orbit  $[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E$  and a subset  $I \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  the contribution of  $[w]$  with respect to the direct sum (2) by  $H(Y_I, [w])$  i.e.

$$H(Y_I, [w]) = \begin{cases} \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w])] & : [w] \in \Omega_I \\ 0 & : [w] \notin \Omega_I \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

Thus we have

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^*(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} H(Y_I, [w]). \quad (4)$$

Let  $I \subset J$  be two subsets of  $\Delta/\Gamma$ . We consider the homomorphism

$$\phi_{I,J} : H_{\acute{e}t}^*(Y_J) \longrightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^*(Y_I)$$

given by the closed embedding  $Y_I \hookrightarrow Y_J$ . The construction of Prop. 4.2 induces a grading of  $\phi_{I,J}$ ,

$$\phi_{I,J} = \bigoplus_{([w],[w']) \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \times W^\mu/\Gamma_E} \phi_{[w],[w']} : \bigoplus_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} H(Y_J, [w]) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{[w'] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} H(Y_I, [w'])$$

with

$$\phi_{[w],[w']} = \begin{cases} id & : [w] = [w'] \\ 0 & : [w] \neq [w'] \end{cases} . \quad (5)$$

We need a generalisation of a result of Lehrer resp. Björner. We will construct a complex in analogy to the sequence (\*). Let  $I \subset J \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  two subsets with  $\#(J \setminus I) = 1$ . We get a homomorphism

$$p_{I,J} : i_{P_J}^G \longrightarrow i_{P_I}^G,$$

which comes from the projection  $(G/P_I)(k) \longrightarrow (G/P_J)(k)$ . For two arbitrary subsets  $I, J \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  with  $\#J - \#I = 1$  we define

$$d_{I,J} = \begin{cases} (-1)^i p_{I,J} & J = I \cup \{\bar{\alpha}_i\} \\ 0 & I \not\subset J \end{cases} .$$

Thus we get for every  $I_0 \subset \Delta/\Gamma$  a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -indexed complex

$$K_{I_0}^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow i_G^G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I_0 \subset I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 1}} i_{P_I}^G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I_0 \subset I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 2}} i_{P_I}^G \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I_0 \subset I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_0) - 1}} i_{P_I}^G \rightarrow i_{P_{I_0}}^G,$$

where the differentials are induced by the above  $d_{I,J}$ . The component  $i_G^G$  is in degree  $-1$ .

**Proposition 4.3** *The complex  $K_{I_0}^\bullet$  is acyclic.*

**Proof:** In the split case this is precisely the result of Lehrer [L] resp. Björner [Bj]. Since the group  $\Gamma$  is finite taking the fix-vectors in the category of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -representations yields an exact functor. But the above complex is just the resulting fix-complex of the analogous complex relative to  $G$  considered as a split group defined over  $k'$ .  $\square$

We mention the following well known lemma (cf. [O], Lemma 7.4).

**Lemma 4.4** *Every extension of the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/E)$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(m)$  by  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)$  with  $m \neq n$  splits.*

The acyclic complex (\*) yields a method to calculate the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 4.5** *The spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_{\acute{e}t}^q(Y, \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = p+1}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (\phi_{g,I})_* (\phi_{g,I})^* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \implies H_{\acute{e}t}^{p+q}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

resulting from (\*), degenerates in the  $E_2$ -term and we get for the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\acute{e}t}^*(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = & \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) = 1}} \left( i_{P_I[w]}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l(w))[-2l([w])] \right) \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) > 1}} \left( \left( i_G^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w])] \right) \oplus \right. \\ & \left. \oplus \left( v_{P_I[w]}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w]) - \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) + 1] \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{p,q} &= H_{\acute{e}t}^q(Y, \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = p+1}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} (\phi_{g,I})_* (\phi_{g,I})^* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = p+1}} \bigoplus_{g \in (G/P_I)(k)} H_{\acute{e}t}^q(Y_I, (\phi_{g,I})^* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = p+1}} \bigoplus_{(G/P_I)(k)} H_{\acute{e}t}^q(Y_I, \mathbb{Q}_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

The application of (4) and (5) yields a decomposition

$$E_1 = \bigoplus_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} E_{1,[w]}$$

into subcomplexes with

$$E_{1,[w]}^{p,q} = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = p+1}} \bigoplus_{(G/P_I)(k)} H(Y_I, [w]) & q = 2l([w]) \\ 0 & q \neq 2l([w]) \end{cases}.$$

Thus  $E_{1,[w]}$  is the subcomplex



$$\begin{aligned}
E_{1,[w]} : \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 1}} \bigoplus_{(G/P_I)(k)} H(Y_I, [w]) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I \subset \Delta/\Gamma \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 2}} \bigoplus_{(G/P_I)(k)} H(Y_I, [w]) \longrightarrow \\
&\dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(G/B)(k)} H(Y_\emptyset, [w]).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (1) und (3) we have

$$H(Y_I, [w]) = \begin{cases} \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w])] & : I_{[w]} \subset I \\ 0 & : I_{[w]} \not\subset I \end{cases}.$$

So  $E_{1,[w]}$  simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \bigoplus_{\substack{I_{[w]} \subset I \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 1}} i_{P_I}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{I_{[w]} \subset I \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I) = 2}} i_{P_I}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \rightarrow \dots \right. \\
\left. \rightarrow i_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \right)[-2l([w])],
\end{aligned}$$

and we get an exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow i_G^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w]) + 1] \rightarrow K_{I_{[w]}}^\bullet \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w]))[-2l([w])] \rightarrow E_{1,[w]} \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields the following three cases for  $E_{2,[w]}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{[w]} = \Delta/\Gamma & : E_{2,[w]}^{p,q} &= 0 \quad p \geq 0, q \geq 0 \\
\#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) = 1 & : E_{2,[w]}^{0,2l([w])} &= i_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \\
& E_{2,[w]}^{p,q} &= 0 \quad (p, q) \neq (0, 2l([w])) \\
\#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) > 1 & : E_{2,[w]}^{0,2l([w])} &= i_G^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \\
& E_{2,[w]}^{j,2l([w])} &= 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) - 2 \\
& E_{2,[w]}^{j,2l([w])} &= v_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes \text{ind}_{[w]}(-l([w])) \quad j = \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) - 1 \\
& E_{2,[w]}^{p,q} &= 0 \quad q \neq 2l([w]) \text{ or } p > \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The Galois modules  $E_2^{p,q} \neq (0)$  possess the Tate twist  $-\frac{q}{2}$ . As every homomorphism of Galois modules of different Tate twists is trivial, the  $E_2$ -term coincides with the  $E_\infty$ -term. Thus for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
gr^p(H_{\acute{e}t}^n(Y)) &= E_\infty^{p,n-p} = E_2^{p,n-p} = \bigoplus_{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E} E_{2,[w]}^{p,n-p} \\
= \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]})=1 \\ 2l([w])=n}} i_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]})>1 \\ 2l([w])=n}} i_G^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])) & : p = 0 \\ \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ 2l([w]) + \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) - 1 = n}} v_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])) & : p > 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Following Lemma 4.4 extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(m)$  by  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)$  with  $m \neq n$  are trivial. This yields an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\acute{e}t}^n(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) &\cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N}} gr^p(H_{\acute{e}t}^n(Y, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \\
= \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]})=1 \\ 2l([w])=n}} i_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]})>1 \\ 2l([w])=n}} i_G^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])) \oplus \\
&\quad \bigoplus_{\substack{[w] \in W^\mu/\Gamma_E \\ 2l([w]) + \#(\Delta/\Gamma - I_{[w]}) - 1 = n}} v_{P_{I_{[w]}}}^G \otimes ind_{[w]}(-l([w])).
\end{aligned}$$

The claim follows. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** The proof is the same as in the case of  $G = GL_{d+1}$  (cf. [O]). □

## References

- [Bj] A. Björner, *Some Combinatorial and Algebraic Properties of Coxeter Complexes and Tits Buildings*, Adv. in Math. **52**, 173-212 (1984).
- [CLT] C.W. Curtis, G.I. Lehrer and J. Tits, *Spherical Buildings and the Character of the Steinberg Representation*, Inventiones math. **58**, 201-210 (1980).

- [K] R.E. Kottwitz, *Shimura Varieties and Twisted Orbital Integrals*, Math. Ann. **269**, 287-300 (1984)
- [L] G.I. Lehrer, *The Spherical Building and Regular Semisimple Elements*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. **27** , 361-379 (1983).
- [M] D. Mumford, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1965).
- [O] S. Orlik, *Kohomologie von Periodenbereichen über endlichen Körpern*, thesis 1999.
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Homotopy Properties of the Poset of Nontrivial  $p$ -Subgroups of a Group*, Adv. in Math. **28**, 101-128 (1978).
- [R1] M. Rapoport, *Period domains over finite and local fields*, Proc. Symp. Pure math. **62(pt1)**, 361-381 (1997).
- [R2] M. Rapoport, *Analogien zwischen den Modulräumen von Vektorbündeln und von Flaggen*, Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. **99**, No 4, 164-180 (1997).
- [R3] M. Rapoport, *Two letters to B. Totaro*, april 1994.
- [S] L. Solomon, *The Steinberg character of a finite group with  $(B, N)$ -pair*, in "Theory of Finite Groups", ed. R. Brauer, C.H. Sah, W.A. Benjamin 1969.
- [T] B. Totaro: *Tensor products in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory*, Duke Math. J. **83**, No 1, 79-104 (1996).