#### Invertible solutions of the Lyapunov equation

Hans Zwart

**University of Twente** 

## **1** Introduction

In this talk we study the implications of the existence of a bounded and boundedly invertible solution, X, to the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1 z_1, X z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, X A_2 z_2 \rangle = 0,$$
 (1)

where  $z_1\in D(A_1), z_2\in D(A_2).$   $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed, densely defined linear operators on Z with Z a Hilbert space.

## **1** Introduction

In this talk we study the implications of the existence of a bounded and boundedly invertible solution, X, to the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1 z_1, X z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, X A_2 z_2 \rangle = 0,$$
 (1)

where  $z_1\in D(A_1), z_2\in D(A_2).$   $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed, densely defined linear operators on Z with Z a Hilbert space.

Roughly looking, the equation seems to be the same as

$$A_1^* X = -X A_2. \tag{2}$$

We show that this does not hold in general.

## **1** Introduction

In this talk we study the implications of the existence of a bounded and boundedly invertible solution, X, to the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1 z_1, X z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, X A_2 z_2 \rangle = 0,$$
 (1)

where  $z_1\in D(A_1), z_2\in D(A_2).$   $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed, densely defined linear operators on Z with Z a Hilbert space.

Roughly looking, the equation seems to be the same as

$$A_1^* X = -X A_2. \tag{2}$$

We show that this does not hold in general. We begin by deriving an equivalent condition to (1) when  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are both infinitesimal generators.

#### **Lemma**

Let  $A_1, A_2$  be the infinitesimal generators of the  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(T_1(t))_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(T_2(t))_{t\geq 0}$ , respectively. Then  $X\in \mathcal{L}(Z)$  satisfies the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,XA_2z_2
angle=0,\ \ z_1\in D(A_1),z_2\in D(A_2)$$

#### if and only if

$$T_1^*(t)XT_2(t)=X, \qquad ext{for all } t\geq 0.$$

#### **Lemma**

Let  $A_1, A_2$  be the infinitesimal generators of the  $C_0$ -semigroups  $(T_1(t))_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(T_2(t))_{t\geq 0}$ , respectively. Then  $X\in \mathcal{L}(Z)$  satisfies the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,XA_2z_2
angle=0,\ \ z_1\in D(A_1),z_2\in D(A_2)$$

#### if and only if

$$T_1^*(t)XT_2(t)=X, \qquad ext{for all } t\geq 0.$$

#### If X is (boundedly) invertible, then

$$X^{-1}T_1^*(t)XT_2(t)=I,$$
 for all  $t\geq 0.$ 

Thus  $ig(X^{-1}T_1^*(t)Xig)_{t\geq 0}$  is the left-inverse of  $(T_2(t))_{t\geq 0}$ .

## <u>Proof</u>: Easy by differentiating (one direction) or (other implication) substituting $z_1=T_1(t)z_{10}$ and $z_2=T_2(t)z_{20}$ , with $z_{10}\in D(A_1)$ , $z_{20}\in D(A_2)$ .

## 2 Left-Invertibility

We begin with the definition of a left-invertible semigroup.

#### **Definition**

The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible if there exists a function  $t\mapsto m(t)$  such that m(t)>0 and for all  $z_0\in Z$  there holds

$$m(t) \|z_0\| \le \|T(t)z_0\|, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (3)

## 2 Left-Invertibility

We begin with the definition of a left-invertible semigroup.

#### **Definition**

The  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible if there exists a function  $t\mapsto m(t)$  such that m(t)>0 and for all  $z_0\in Z$  there holds

$$m(t)\|z_0\| \le \|T(t)z_0\|, \quad t \ge 0.$$
 (3)

#### Louis and Wexler, 1983, showed the following equivalence.

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on the Hilbert space Z. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible;
- 2. There exists a  $C_0$  -semigroup  $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  such that S(t)T(t)=I for all  $t\geq 0.$

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on the Hilbert space Z. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible;
- 2. There exists a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  such that S(t)T(t)=I for all  $t\geq 0.$

Hence if left-invertible, then the left-inverse can be chosen as a semigroup.

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on the Hilbert space Z. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible;
- 2. There exists a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  such that S(t)T(t)=I for all  $t\geq 0.$

Hence if left-invertible, then the left-inverse can be chosen as a semigroup. The proof of Louis and Wexler uses optimal control. We present a new/adjusted proof using an invertible solution of a Lyapunov equation.

#### **Proof:**

2.  $\Rightarrow$  1. is trivial. So we concentrate on the other implication.

Let A be the infinitesimal generator of  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ . Choose  $\omega\in\mathbb{R}$  such that  $A-\omega I$  is exponentially stable.

Now for  $z\in Z$ 

$$egin{aligned} &m_0 \| z \|^2 = \int_0^\infty m(t)^2 e^{-2\omega t} \| z \|^2 dt \ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-2\omega t} \| T(t) z \|^2 dt \leq M \| z \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\langle z, Xz 
angle = \int_0^\infty e^{-2\omega t} \|T(t)z\|^2$ . Then

- $m_0I \leq X \leq MI$
- ullet X is the solution to the Lyapunov equation

$$\langle (A-\omega I)z_1, Xz_2
angle + \langle z_1, X(A-\omega I)z_2
angle = -\langle z_1, z_2
angle,$$

for  $z_1, z_2 \in D(A).$ 

- $\bullet \ m_0 I \leq X \leq M I$
- ullet X is the solution to the Lyapunov equation

$$\langle (A-\omega I)z_1, Xz_2
angle + \langle z_1, X(A-\omega I)z_2
angle = -\langle z_1, z_2
angle,$$

for  $z_1, z_2 \in D(A).$ 

We rewrite this Lyapunov equation to the Sylvester equation

$$\langle (A-\omega I+X^{-1})z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,X(A-\omega I)z_2
angle=0.$$

#### **The Sylvester equation**

$$\langle (A-\omega I+X^{-1})z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,X(A-\omega I)z_2
angle=0.$$

is a special case of our general Sylvester equation. Thus by the previous lemma we know that the semigroup generated by  $A-\omega I$  is left invertible, and

$$X^{-1}T_1(t)^*XT(t)e^{-\omega t}=I$$

where  $(T_1(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is the semigroup generated by  $A-\omega I+X^{-1}$ . Thus  $S(t):=X^{-1}T_1(t)^*Xe^{-\omega t}$  is the left-inverse of T(t).

#### Looking at the proof, the following is an easy consequence.

# Corollary If $C\in \mathcal{L}(Z,Y)$ is exactly observable, i.e. there exists an $m_0>0$ and $t_1>0$ such that

$$\int_0^{t_1} \|CT(t)z\|^2 dt \geq m_0 \|z\|^2$$

then  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible.

#### Looking at the proof, the following is an easy consequence.

Corollary If  $C\in \mathcal{L}(Z,Y)$  is exactly observable, i.e. there exists an  $m_0>0$  and  $t_1>0$  such that

$$\int_0^{t_1} \|CT(t)z\|^2 dt \geq m_0 \|z\|^2$$

then  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible.

The left-inverse semigroup is "generated" by  $A-\omega I+X^{-1}C^*C.$   $\Box$ 

#### The result does not extend to the class of admissible output operators.

#### The result does not extend to the class of admissible output operators.

#### Example

Consider the left-shift semigroup on  $L^2(0,1)$ , i.e.

$$\left(T(t)f
ight)(\eta)=egin{cases} f(\eta+t) & \eta+t\in [0,1]\ 0 & \eta+t\geq 1 \end{cases}$$

with the observation at  $\eta=0$ , i.e.,

$$Cf = f(0).$$

Then  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  not left-invertible, but it is exactly observable

$$\int_0^1 |CT(t)f|^2 dt = \|f\|^2.$$

#### Remark

#### One could understand the difficulty as follows:

Is the operator  $A + X^{-1}C^*C$  an infinitesimal generator?

#### We return to our left-invertible semigroups.

#### We return to our left-invertible semigroups.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on the Hilbert space Z with generator A. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible;
- 2. There exists a bounded operator Q and an equivalent inner product such that A + Q generates an isometric semigroup in the new norm.

#### We return to our left-invertible semigroups.

#### **Theorem**

Let  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on the Hilbert space Z with generator A. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is left-invertible;
- 2. There exists a bounded operator Q and an equivalent inner product such that A+Q generates an isometric semigroup in the new norm.

#### Markus Haase has proved a similar result for generators of groups.

**Proof**: 1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.

By our previous proof we have the existence of a  $X \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$ , boundedly invertible, such that

$$\langle (A-\omega I)z_1, Xz_2
angle + \langle z_1, X(A-\omega I)z_2
angle = -\langle z_1, z_2
angle,$$

for  $z_1, z_2 \in D(A).$  Now we write it as

$$\langle (A-\omega I+rac{1}{2}X^{-1})z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,X(A-\omega I+rac{1}{2}X^{-1})z_2
angle=0.$$

By defining  $Q=-\omega I+rac{1}{2}X^{-1}$ , and taking as new inner product  $\langle z_1,z_2
angle_{
m new}=\langle z_1,Xz_2
angle$ , we obtain the desired result.

## 3 When do we have that $A_1^*X = -XA_2$ ?

We return to our general Sylvester equation, see (1),

$$\langle A_1z_1, Xz_2
angle + \langle z_1, XA_2z_2
angle = 0,$$

and wonder when  $A_1^*X = -XA_2$ .

We have the following result:

Assume that  $X \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$  is boundedly invertible and satisfies the Sylvester equation

$$\langle A_1z_1,Xz_2
angle+\langle z_1,XA_2z_2
angle=0.$$

If  $A_1, A_2$  satisfy

- The intersection of  $ho(A_2)$  with the complement of the point spectrum of  $-A_1^*$  is non-empty, <u>or</u>
- $XD(A_2)=D(A_1^*)$ ,

then

$$A_1^* = -XA_2X^{-1}$$

## Hence if $A_1, A_2$ generate a $C_0$ -semigroup, then they generate a group and

$$T_1^*(t) = XT_2(-t)X^{-1}.$$

## 4 Riccati equations

It is clear that if X is an invertible, self-adjoint solution to the Lyapunov equation

$$\langle Az_1, Xz_2 
angle + \langle z_1, XAz_2 
angle = - \langle z_1, z_2 
angle,$$

then  $X^{-1}$  satisfies the Riccati equation

$$\langle AX^{-1}z_1,z_2
angle+\langle z_1,AX^{-1}z_2
angle+\langle X^{-1}z_1,X^{-1}z_2
angle=0.$$

However, there are other relations.

#### The ARE

$$A^*X + XA - XBB^*X + C^*C = 0$$

can be written as (weak form)

$$egin{array}{rll} -\langle Cz_1,Cz_2
angle &=& \langle Az_1,Xz_2
angle +\langle z_1,X(A-BB^*X)z_2
angle \ &=& \end{array}$$

#### The ARE

$$A^*X + XA - XBB^*X + C^*C = 0$$

can be written as (weak form)

$$egin{aligned} -\langle Cz_1,Cz_2
angle &=& \langle Az_1,Xz_2
angle +\langle z_1,X(A-BB^*X)z_2
angle \ &=& \langle Az_1,Xz_2
angle +\langle z_1,XA_{
m opt}z_2
angle. \end{aligned}$$

#### The ARE

$$A^*X + XA - XBB^*X + C^*C = 0$$

can be written as (weak form)

$$egin{aligned} -\langle Cz_1,Cz_2
angle &=& \langle Az_1,Xz_2
angle +\langle z_1,X(A-BB^*X)z_2
angle \ &=& \langle Az_1,Xz_2
angle +\langle z_1,XA_{
m opt}z_2
angle. \end{aligned}$$

This last (Lyapunov) equation also holds when B is unbounded.

Assume  $C \in \mathcal{L}(Z,Y)$  and let X be a self-adjoint, invertible solution of

$$\langle Az_1, Xz_2 
angle + \langle z_1, XA_{ ext{opt}}z_2 
angle = - \langle Cz_1, Cz_2 
angle,$$

and assume further that  $ho(-A^*-X^{-1}C^*C)\cap
ho(A_{
m opt})
eq \emptyset.$  Then

1. 
$$D(A_{\mathrm{opt}}) = X^{-1}D(A^*)$$

2.  $A_{opt}$  and  $A + X^{-1}C^*C$  generate a  $C_0$ -group,  $(T_{opt}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ , and  $(T_{X^{-1}C^*C}(t))_{t\geq 0}$ , respectively, and

$$T_{\text{opt}}(t) = X^{-1}T_{X^{-1}C^*C}(-t)^*X.$$